

EL PROCESO DE CAMBIO ORGANIZATIVO EN LAS INSTITUCIONES DE EDUCACIÓN SUPERIOR

O PROCESSO DE MUDANÇA ORGANIZACIONAL EM INSTITUIÇÕES DE ENSINO SUPERIOR

THE PROCESS OF ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE IN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION

Liliana María Cardona Mejía

Doctora (c) en Dirección de Organizaciones por la Universidad de Valencia, España.
Magister en Educación. Universidad de San Buenaventura.
Profesora Universidad de Antioquia.
Grupo de investigación en Ciencias Aplicadas a la Actividad Física y el Deporte- GRICAFDE.
Línea en Administración y Gestión Deportiva.
lmaria.cardona@udea.edu.co

RESUMEN

El interés de la presente investigación es el proceso de cambio organizativo en las Instituciones de Educación Superior de Colombia, que presentan programas en el campo de conocimiento de la Educación Física.

La reconfiguración de la economía y de la sociedad en el ámbito mundial producto de fuerzas como la globalización y el desarrollo de tecnologías de la información y la comunicación, ha permeado la educación superior y obliga a que las universidades se enfrenten a procesos de cambio para dar respuesta a las nuevas demandas de un mercado cada vez más competitivo.

Se han seleccionado la teoría de recursos y capacidades, la teoría institucional y la ecología de las poblaciones para explicar el proceso de cambio, por su relación con el objeto de investigación. Así mismo, se acogen tres modelos que se corresponden con un cambio

planificado, por fases y que reconoce la presencia de revoluciones y evoluciones en el proceso, buscando comprender la interrelación que pudiese presentarse entre estas teorías y modelos. Desde lo práctico, interesa trascender el paradigma en torno a la gestión académica y reconocer que las teorías organizacionales tienen validez y aplicación en las instituciones y que éstas demandan un perfil profesional específico de sus dirigentes.

En este sentido, se busca reconocer el proceso de cambio en las Instituciones de Educación Superior de Colombia con programas en el campo de conocimiento de la Educación Física, desde las resistencias y elementos facilitadores.

La investigación se desarrolla desde un enfoque cualitativo bajo el método de estudio de casos. En una primera fase se identifican las instituciones que han adelantado procesos de cambio incrementales o estratégicos, para luego seleccionar una institución para cada fase (*unfreezing, change y refreezing*). Se entrevistarán a una muestra significativa de los colectivos de profesores, estudiantes y administrativos.

Finalmente, se pretende generar una ruta que sirva de orientación a directivos de Instituciones de Educación Superior, para enfrentar un proceso de cambio de manera exitosa.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Cambio organizativo, instituciones de educación superior, teorías del cambio, modelos de cambio

RESUMO

O interesse desta pesquisa é o processo de mudança organizacional nas Instituições de Educação Superior da Colômbia, que têm programas na área de conhecimento de Educação Física.

A reconfiguração da economia e da sociedade em nível de produção mundial de forças, tais como a globalização o desenvolvimento das tecnologias de informação e comunicações que permeam a educação superior e exigem que as universidades estejam enfrentando processos de mudança para dar resposta às novas exigências de um mercado cada vez mais competitivo.

Nós seleccionamos a teoria de recursos e capacidades, a teoria institucional e a da ecologia de populações para explicar o processo de mudança e sua relação com o objeto de pesquisa. Além disso, três modelos que correspondem a uma mudança planejada em fases e que reconhece a presença de revoluções e evoluções no processo, buscando compreender a relação que possa surgir entre estas teorias e modelos que são bem-vindos. A partir dos

interesses práticos para transcender o paradigma em torno à gestão acadêmica e reconhecer que as teorias organizacionais são válidas e aplicáveis e que essas instituições precisam de um perfil profissional específico de seus líderes.

Neste sentido, procura-se reconhecer o processo de mudança nas Instituições de Educação Superior da Colômbia com programas no domínio do conhecimento da Educação Física, a partir das resistências e facilidades.

A pesquisa foi desenvolvida a partir de uma abordagem qualitativa pelo método de estudo de caso. Numa primeira fase, as instituições que avançaram processos de mudanças incrementais ou estratégicos, para então selecionar uma instituição para cada fase (unfreezing, change e refreezing). Foi entrevistada uma amostra significativa de grupos de professores, alunos e administradores.

Finalmente, pretende-se gerar uma rota que fornece orientações para gestores de instituições de ensino superior, para enfrentar um processo de mudança com sucesso.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Mudança organizacional, as instituições de ensino superior, as teorias da mudança, modelos de mudança

ABSTRACT

The goal of this research is to examine organizational change in Institutions of Higher Education of Colombia with programs in Physical Education.

The reconfiguration of the economy and society worldwide has resulted from globalization and the development of information and communications technology, and it has permeated into higher education and requires that universities face process changes to meet the new demands of an increasingly competitive market.

We have selected the theory of resources and capabilities, institutional theory and the ecology of populations to explain the process of change due to their relationship with the object of research. Additionally, three models corresponding to a planned change in phases and that recognize the presence of revolutions and evolutions in the process were applied to understand the relationship that might arise between these theories and models. Practically, there is interest in transcending the paradigm around academic management and in recognizing that organizational theories are valid and enforceable in institutions and that they require a specific professional profile among their leaders.

In this sense, it will be important to address changes in processes in the Institutions of Higher Education of Colombia with programs in Physical Education, considering resistor and enabler elements.

This research was developed based on a qualitative approach under the case-study method. In the first phase, the institutions with advanced incremental or strategic change process will be identified, and then an institution for each phase (unfreezing, change and refreezing) will be selected. A significant sample of groups of teachers, students and administrators will be interviewed.

Finally, this research aims to generate a route that provides guidance to managers of higher education institutions in successfully navigating change processes.

KEYWORDS: Organizational change, institutions of higher education, theories of change, change models.

REFERENCIAS

1. Abatecola, G. (2012). Organizational adaptation: an update. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 20, 274–293.
<http://doi.org/10.1108/19348831211243802>
2. Alkahtani, A. H., Abu-Jarad, I., Sulaiman, M., & Nikbin, D. (2011). The Impact of Personality and Leadership Styles on Leading Change Capability of Malaysian Managers. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, 1(2), 70–99.
3. Armenakis, A. a., & Harris, S. G. (2009). Reflections: our Journey in Organizational Change Research and Practice. *Journal of Change Management*, 9(2), 127–142.
<http://doi.org/10.1080/14697010902879079>
4. Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <http://doi.org/0803973233>
5. Barney, J. B. (2001). Resource-based theories of competitive advantage: A ten-year retrospective on the resource-based view. *Journal of Management*, 27, 643–650.
6. Barsky, O. (2012). Acerca de los rankings internacionales de las universidades y su repercusión en Argentina. *Debate Universitario*, 1(1), 30–78.
7. Battilana, J., Gilmartin, M., Sengul, M., Pache, A. C., & Alexander, J. A. (2010). Leadership competencies for implementing planned organizational change. *Leadership Quarterly*, 21, 422–438. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.lequa.2010.03.007>
8. Beckhard, R. (1975). Strategies for Large System Change. *Sloan Management Review*, 16(2), 43–55.

9. Beer, M., & Nohria, N. (2006). Cracking the Code of Change. In *HBR's Must-Reads on Change*. Harvard Business School.
10. Bengoetxea, E. (2012). Higher Education Governance Reform in Practice. Matching Institutional Implementation Practices and Policies. *RUSC. Revista de Universidad Y Sociedad Del Conocimiento*, 9(2), 267–279. <http://doi.org/10.7238/rusc.v9i2.1415>
11. Bernard, H. R., & Ryan, G. W. (2010). *Analyzing qualitative data: systematic approaches*. United States of America: Sage Publications.
12. Bluhm, D. J., Harman, W., Lee, T. W., & Mitchell, T. R. (2011). Qualitative research in management: A decade of progress. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48(8), 1866–1891. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2010.00972.x>
13. Boeije, H. (2010). *Analysis in Qualitative Research*. London: Sage Publications.
14. Boga, I., & Ensari, N. (2009). The Role of Transformational Leadership and Organizational Change on Perceived Organizational Success. *The Psychologist-Manager Journal*, 12(4), 235–251. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10887150903316248>
15. Bridges, W. (2003). *Managing Transitions: making the most of change* (2nd Editio). Cambridge: Perseus Publishing Services.
16. Cameron, E., & Green, M. (2009). *Making sense of change management*. Kogan Page. <http://doi.org/10.1108/00251740910946769>
17. Carnall, C. (2003). *The Change Management Toolkit*. Singapore: Seng Lee Press.
18. Carroll, G. R. (1984). Organizational Ecology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 10, 71–93. <http://doi.org/10.2307/2072802>
19. Casani Fernández de Navarrete, F., & Rodríguez Pomeda, J. (2015). Cambios y tendencias en la educación superior: los retos para la universidad, 1–10.
20. CESU, C. N. de E. S.-. (2014). *Acuerdo por lo Superior 2034. Propuesta de política pública para la excelencia de la educación superior en Colombia, en el escenario de la paz*.
21. Choi, M., & Ruona, W. A. (2010). Individual Readiness for Organizational Change and Its Implications for Human Resource and Organization Development. *Human Resource Development Review*, 10, 46–73. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1534484310384957>
22. Colleen, L., & Theresa, K. (2008). Understanding the influence of organizational culture and group dynamics on organizational change and learning. *The Learning Organization*, 15, 277. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09696470810868882>
23. Crawford, L., & Nahmias, A. H. (2010). Competencies for managing change. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28, 405–412. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.01.015>
24. Dahl, M. S. (2010). Organizational Change and Employee Stress. *Management Science*. <http://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1100.1273>

25. Dalton, C. C., & Gottlieb, L. N. (2003). The concept of readiness to change. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 42(2), 108–117. <http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2003.02593.x>
26. Den Hartog, D. N., & Belschak, F. D. (2012). When does transformational leadership enhance employee proactive behavior? The role of autonomy and role breadth self-efficacy. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97(1), 194–202. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0024903>
27. Didriksson, A. (2008). Contexto global y regional de la Educación Superior en América Latina y El Caribe. In *Tendencias de la Educación Superior en América Latina y el Caribe* (pp. 1–43). UNESCO.
28. DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The Iron cage revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
29. Eisenbeiss, S. a, van Knippenberg, D., & Boerner, S. (2008). Transformational leadership and team innovation: integrating team climate principles. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(6), 1438–1446. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0012716>
30. Erkkilä, T. (2014). Global university rankings, transnational policy discourse and higher education in Europe. *European Journal of Education*, 49(1), 91–101. <http://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12063>
31. Fernández Darraz, E., & Bernasconi, A. (2012). Elementos conceptuales para el análisis organizacional de universidades en contextos de mercado. *Innovar*, 22(46), 87–97.
32. Fernandez, S., & Pitts, D. W. (2007). Under What Conditions Do Public Managers Favor and Pursue Organizational Change? *The American Review of Public Administration*, 37(3), 324–341. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0275074006293467>
33. Fernández Urrutia, A. (2013). *Redefinición de procesos y estructura organizacional a través de la gestión del cambio en una institución educativa*. Universidad de Sevilla.
34. Fernández-Alles, M., & Valle-Cabrera, R. (2006). Reconciling institutional theory with organizational theories. How neoinstitutionalism resolves five paradoxes. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 19(4), 503–517. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09534810610676699>
35. Ferreira, J., Garrido Azevedo, S., & Fernández Ortiz, R. (2011). Contribution of Resource-Based View and Entrepreneurial Orientation on Small Firm Growth. *Cuadernos de Gestión*, 11(1), 95–116. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com.millenium.itesm.mx/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsdjo&AN=38e92f40884b36fca5e56359c6b95578&lang=es&site=eds-live>
36. Garza Mitchell, R. L. (2009). Online Education and Organizational Change. *Community College Review*, 37(1), 81–101. Retrieved from <http://search.proquest.com/docview/61878724?accountid=14777>

37. González Cruz, T. F. (2002). *Interrelaciones entre diseño organizativo y estrategia: un análisis de los enfoques de recursos y capacidades y rutinas organizativas*. Universidad de Valencia.
38. Graebner, M. E., Martin, J. a., & Roundy, P. T. (2012). Qualitative data: Cooking without a recipe. *Strategic Organization*, 10(3), 276–284. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1476127012452821>
39. Grant, R. M. (1991). The Resource-Based Theory of Competitive Advantage: Implications for Strategy Formulation. *California Management Review*, 33(3), 114–135. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063\(99\)00037-9](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063(99)00037-9)
40. Greiner, L. E. (1998). Evolution and Revolution as Organizations Grow - Harvard Business Review. *Harvard Business Review*, 76(3), 55–68. Retrieved from <http://hbr.org/1998/05/evolution-and-revolution-as-organizations-grow>
41. Guido Guido, E. (2005). Acreditación : ¿Calidad o Instrumento de Legitimación para la Educación Superior? *Actualidades Investigativas En Educación*, 5.
42. Guowei Jian. (2007). Unpacking Unintended Consequences in Planned Organizational Change: A Process Model. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 21, 5–28. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0893318907301986>
43. Hannan, M. T., & Freeman, J. (1977). The Population Ecology of Organizations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 82(5), 929. <http://doi.org/10.1086/226424>
44. Herrington, C. D., & Summers, K. P. (2014). Global pressures on education research: quality, utility, and infrastructure. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 339–346. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-014-9328-7>
45. Hessels, J., & Terjesen, S. (2010). Resource dependency and institutional theory perspectives on direct and indirect export choices. *Small Business Economics*, 34(2), 203–220. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-008-9156-4>
46. Hoogendoorn, M., Jonker, C. M., Schut, M. C., & Treur, J. (2007). Modeling centralized organization of organizational change. *Computational and Mathematical Organization Theory*, 13, 147–184. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10588-006-9004-5>
47. Hurtado, J. (2014). Educación superior y educación general: más allá del desafío de la productividad y la competitividad. *Revista de Estudios Sociales*, 50, 25–30.
48. Invernizzi, E., & Romenti, S. (2012). Identity, communication and change management in Ferrari. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 17(4), 483–497. <http://doi.org/10.1108/13563281211274194>
49. Jansson, N. (2013). Organizational change as practice: a critical analysis. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 26, 1003–1019. <http://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-09-2012-0152>

50. Jorritsma, P. Y., & Wilderom, C. (2012). Failed culture change aimed at more service provision: a test of three agentic factors. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 25(3), 364–391. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09534811211228102>
51. Kerman, B., Freundlich, M., Lee, J. M., & Brenner, E. (2012). Learning While Doing in the Human Services: Becoming a Learning Organization Through Organizational Change. *Administration in Social Work*, 36(3), 234–257. <http://doi.org/10.1080/03643107.2011.573061>
52. Kienle, A. W., & Loyd, N. L. (2005). Globalization and the Emergence of Supranational Organizations : Implications for graduate programs in higher education administration. *College Student Journal*, 39(3), 580–587.
53. Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading Change : Why Transformation Efforts Fail. *Harvard Business Review*. <http://doi.org/10.1225/95204>
54. Kurland, N. B. (2011). Evolution of a Campus Sustainability Network: A Case Study in Organizational Change. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 12(4), 395–429. Retrieved from <http://search.proquest.com/docview/964170074?accountid=14777>
55. Lee, J.-K. (2004). Globalization and Higher Education : A South Korea Perspective. *Online Submission, Globalization*, 4(1), 1–28.
56. Marcellán Español, F. (2005). Criterios de garantía de calidad en educación superior: praxis europea. *Educatio*, 23, 15–32.
57. Marshall, M. N. (1996). Sampling for qualitative research. *Family Practice*, 13(6), 522–525.
58. Maurer, R. (1996). Using resistance to build support for change. *Journal for Quality & Participation*, 19(3), 56–63. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9607243019&site=ehost-live>
59. Meyer, H.-D., & Rowan, B. (2006). Institutional Analysis and the Study of Education. *The New Institutionalism in Education*, 1–13.
60. Mihail, D. M., Links, M. Mac, & Sarvanidis, S. (2013). High performance work systems in corporate turnaround: a German case study. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 26(1), 190–216. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09534811311307978>
61. Misas Arango, G. (2004). *La Educación Superior en Colombia: Análisis y estrategias para su desarrollo*. Bogotá: Universidad Nacional de Colombia.
62. Mitre Aranda, M. (2009). TESQUAL: propuesta de microtesauro para el ámbito de la gestión de calidad en el Espacio Europeo de Educación Superior. *Revista Española de Documentación Científica*, 32(2), 66–82. <http://doi.org/10.3989/redc.2009.2.663>
63. Morey, A. I. (2004). Globalization and the emergence of for-profit higher education. *Higher Education*, 48, 131–150.

64. Nadler, D. A., & Tushman, M. L. (1989). Organizational Frame Bending: Principles for Managing Reorientation. *The Academy of Management Executive*, 3(3), 194–204. <http://doi.org/10.5465/AME.1989.4274738>
65. Nadler, D. A., & Tushman, M. L. (1997). *Competing by Design: The Power of Organizational Architecture* (2nd editio). New York: Oxford University Press. Retrieved from <https://books.google.es/books?hl=es&lr=&id=qSAZcL02usMC&oi=fnd&pg=PP2&dq=%E2%80%9CCompeting+by+Design:+The+power+of+organizational+architecture%E2%80%9D&ots#v=onepage&q=%E2%80%9CCompeting+by+Design:+The+power+of+organizational+architecture%E2%80%9D&f=false>
66. Oreg, S., Vakola, M., & Armenakis, A. (2011). Change Recipients' Reactions to Organizational Change: A 60-Year Review of Quantitative Studies. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0021886310396550>
67. Pardo Del Val, M., & Martínez Fuentes, C. (2005). Resistencias al cambio organizativo: Un análisis empírico en cambios reactives y anticipativos. *Management*, 8(3), 47–67.
68. Pariente F., J. L. (2010). Procesos de cambio y desarrollo en las organizaciones. *La Investigacion En Gestion Y Organizaciones En Mexico*, 245–296.
69. Paulino, V. D. S. (2009). Organizational change in risky environments: space activities. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09534810910951050>
70. Penrose, E. (2009). *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm* (Fourth edi). New York: Oxford University Press.
71. Pieterse, J. H., Caniëls, M., & Homan, T. (2012). Professional discourses and resistance to change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 25(6), 798–818. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09534811211280573>
72. Raineri, A. (2001). Administración del cambio organizacional en empresas Chilenas. *Estudios de Administración*, 8, 1–40.
73. Ray, G., Barney, J. B., & Muhanna, W. a. (2004). Capabilities, business processes, and competitive advantage: Choosing the dependent variable in empirical tests of the resource-based view. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(1), 23–37. <http://doi.org/10.1002/smj.366>
74. Robbins, S. P. (1994). *Comportamiento Organizacional*. México: Prentice Hall.
75. Rodríguez-ponce, E., & Palma-quiroz, Á. (2010). Challenges for Higher Education in Knowledge Economy. *Ingeniare*, 18, 8–14.
76. Rubiano, M., Rojas, M., & Díaz, S. (2011). Relación entre el cambio organizacional y la actitud al cambio en trabajadores de una empresa de Bogotá. *Diversitas* (17949998), 7(46), 125–142. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&autht>

- ype=crawler&jrnl=17949998&AN=69592421&h=PLqY/QsM3bUAoP+CGt1+6ySWBsBF
yh/PgbLsV1/VKijmh8niogHfWRVi9JiOwyPDygdHTda+QVjg/+nHJLyjyA==&crl=c
77. Rusly, F. H., Corner, J. L., & Sun, P. (2012). Positioning change readiness in knowledge management research. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 16(2), 329–355. <http://doi.org/10.1108/13673271211218906>
78. Salimath, M. S., & Jones III, R. (2011). Population ecology theory: implications for sustainability. *Management Decision*, 49(6), 874–910. <http://doi.org/10.1108/00251741111143595>
79. Salinas Gómez, O. (2005). Tendencias mundiales que afectan la educación superior. *Universidad & Empresa*, 7(9), 42–65.
80. Salmi, J. (2013). *La urgencia de ir hacia adelante: perspectivas desde la experiencia internacional para la transformación de la educación superior en Colombia*. Bogotá: SECAB-PUBLICACIONES.
81. Sanda, M.-A. (2011). Using Activity Analysis to Identify Individual and Group Behavioral Constraints to Organizational Change Management. *Journal of Management and Sustainability*, 1, 111–124. <http://doi.org/10.5539/jms.v1n1p111>
82. Sandoval Duque, J. L. (2014). Los procesos de cambio organizacional y la generación de valor. *Estudios Gerenciales*, 30(131), 162–171. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.estger.2014.04.005>
83. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for Business Students. Research methods for business students*.
84. Smissen, S. Van Der, Schalk, R., & Freese, C. (2013). Organizational change and the psychological contract: How change influences the perceived fulfillment of obligations. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 26(6), 1071–1090. <http://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-08-2012-0118>
85. Soparnot, R. (2011). The concept of organizational change capacity. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09534811111158903>
86. State Council of Higher Education for Virginia. (2010). Higher education, globalization and economic development in Virginia.
87. Tang, C., & Gao, Y. (2012). Intra-department communication and employees' reaction to organizational change: The moderating effect of emotional intelligence. *Journal of Chinese Human Resource Management*, 3(2), 100–117. <http://doi.org/10.1108/20408001211279210>
88. Vaira, M. (2004). Globalization and Higher Education Organizational Change: A Framework for Analysis. *Higher Education*, 48(4), 483–510.
89. Van Emmerik, J. I., Bakker, A. B., & Euwema, M. C. (2009). Explaining Employees' Evaluations of Organizational Change with the Job-Demands Resources Model. *Career*

- Development International*, 14(6), 594–613. Retrieved from <http://search.proquest.com/docview/61835605?accountid=14777>
90. Walker, P. (2012). Strategies for organizational change from group homes to individualized supports. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 50(5), 403–414. <http://doi.org/10.1352/1934-9556-50.5.403>
91. Wit, H. De, Jaramillo, C., Gacel-Avila, J., & Knight, J. (2005). *Educacion Superior en America Latina: La dimension Internacional*. Bogotá, Colombia: BANCO MUNDIAL & MAYOL EDICIONES.
92. Yilmaz, S. (2013). The impact of change management on the attitudes of Turkish security managers towards change: A case study. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 26(1), 117–138. <http://doi.org/10.1108/09534811311307941>
93. Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case study research: design and methods* (3rd ed.). California: Sage Publications.
94. Yukl, G. (1989). Managerial Leadership: A Review of Theory and Research. *Journal of Management*, 15(2), 251–289. <http://doi.org/10.1177/014920638901500207>