

Enantioselective epoxidation of styrene using in-situ **generated dimethyldioxirane and dimeric homochiral Mn(III)-Schiff base complex catalyst**

Epoxidación enantioselectiva deestireno usando dimetildioxirano generadoin-situ y un complejo de base deschiff homoquiral dimérico de Mn(III)comocatalizador

Brayan David Verdugo-Torres1* **,Jairo Antonio Cubillos-Lobo**¹ **, Hugo Alfonso Rojas-Sarmiento**¹

medida a su degradación oxidativa.

¹Grupo de Catálisis, Escuela de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia. Avenida Central del Norte 39-115. C. P. 150003. Tunja, Colombia

ARTICLE INFO:

Received: April 05, 2018 Accepted: October 18, 2018

AVAILABLE ONLINE:

November 27, 2018

KEYWORDS:

Enantioselective epoxidation; Styrene; Dimethyldioxirane; Dimeric catalyst, catalysts reusability

Epoxidación enantioselectiva, estireno, dimetildioxirano, catalizador dimérico, reutilización del catalizador **ABSTRACT:** The enantioselective epoxidation of styrene using *in-situ* generated dimethyldioxirane (DMD) and dimeric homochiral Mn(III)-Schiff base catalyst 1 has been explored. The parameters evaluated were olefin/oxidant molar ration, catalyst loading, reaction temperature and presence of nitrogen coordinating co-catalysts. Conversions of more than 99% and enantiomeric excess 66% were obtained. The selectivity is complete towards styrene oxide using 2 mol% catalyst loading, 1.36 mmol KHSO $_5$ in 8 ml of water, absence of co-catalyst and 17 °C as reaction temperature. Furthermore, the catalyst could be recycled up to three times with slightly decrease of catalytic activity due mainly to the physical loss of the catalyst during the recovery step and at a lesser extent as a consequence of its oxidative degradation.

RESUMEN: Se ha explorado la epoxidación enantioselectiva de estireno utilizando dimetildioxirano (DMD) generado *in-situ* y un complejo de base de Schiff homoquiral dimérico de Mn (III). Los parámetros evaluados fueron la relación molar olefina/oxidante, la cantidad de catalizador, la temperatura de reacción y la presencia de co-catalizadores coordinadores de nitrógeno. Se obtuvieron conversiones por encima de 99% y excesos enantioméricos cercanos al 66%. La reacción fue completamente selectiva hacia el óxido de estireno usando 2% en moles de carga de catalizador, 1.36 mmol de KHSO $_5$ en 8 ml de agua, ausencia de cocatalizador y 17ºC como temperatura de reacción. Además, el catalizador puede reutilizarse hasta tres veces con una leve disminución de la actividad catalítica debido principalmente, a

la pérdida física del catalizador durante la etapa de recuperación del catalizador, y en menor

1. Introduction

Optically active epoxides are key intermediates in organic chemistry because they can undergo stereospecific ringopening reactions or functional group transformations, giving rise to a wide variety of biologically or pharmaceutically important compounds [1]. Enantioselective epoxidation of non-functionalized olefins using Jacobsen type catalysts is one of the most widely used methods for the synthesis of epoxides within the asymmetric catalysis [2–4], because this type of catalysts

* Corresponding author: Brayan David Verdugo Torres E-mail: brayan.verdugo@uptc.edu.co ISSN 0120-6230 e-ISSN 2422-2844

has a high selectivity towards obtaining enantiomerically pure epoxides [5, 6]. However, separation of the catalyst from the reaction mixture and its further reuse are still problematic because the reaction product and the catalyst are in the same phase [7, 8].

To solve this problem, heterogeneous catalysts have been developed by anchoring on polymeric supports [9] inorganic solids of various characteristics [10–16] ionic liquids [17, 18] nanoparticles [19] or intercalation in clays [20]. Although in all these methodologies it has been possible to retain the catalyst in the solid matrix, some examples have been found in which this immobilization process results in a significant loss of activity, asymmetric induction capacity and selectivity due to unexpected changes in the stereoelectronic behavior of the catalyst [19].

Besides this, one of the problems that is frequently questionable in the immobilization of a catalyst in inorganic supports, is the leaching of the catalyst due to the instability of the support-catalyst bond [21]. It is wellknown that the reuse of an immobilized catalyst is simpler with respect to its homogenous counterpart, however, in some cases this can necessarily be preceded by the reactivation of the catalyst, when this is deactivated either by poisoning, fouling, thermal degradation or volatilization of the active components [19, 22].

An alternative strategy to the immobilization and that is inside the methods of heterogeneization, is the precipitation of homogeneous catalysts by manipulating their solubility in the reaction medium [20]. One way to manipulate the solubility is to increase the molecular weight of the catalyst, facilitating product isolation and catalyst recovery, which in turn aids post epoxidation [23].

On the other hand, the oxidizing systems commonly used for this type of reactions are based on the use of iodosylbenzene, sodium hypochlorite and metachloroperoxybenzoic acid. These yield good results (conversions of 99% and enantiomeric excesses between 43-66%) [24, 25]; however, they promote deactivation of the catalyst because of the oxidative degradation of the salen ligand [26–28]. Additionally, when working in biphasic conditions, it is necessary to use of nitrogen coordinating co-catalyst axial bases to transfer the oxidant from the aqueous phase to the organic phase [7]. As alternatives, some oxidants such as molecular oxygen, hydrogen peroxide and dimethyldioxirane have been explored [3]. The most environmentally desirable oxidants, such as oxygen (O_2) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) have not been effective as sources of oxygen. With O_2 , deactivation of the catalyst occurs by oxidative decomposition [29], whereas with H_2O_2 decomposition is favored in O_2 and H_2O [30].

Dimethyldioxiranes (DMD) have rapidly grown into one of the most useful oxidation processes in the arsenal of synthetic chemists [31]. DMD is a non-metal organic oxidant that possesses the unique ability to transfer oxygen atom to many functional groups. It has several advantages over other oxidation methods, high yield, cheap, environment friendly, it works at room temperature or low temperature [31]. As results of the enantioselective epoxidation reactions with dimethyldioxirane have been found high enantioselectivities, up to 93% and 92%, for the case of some chromenes and isoflavones, respectively [32, 33] without degrading the catalyst because it improves the stability of the catalyst thanks to the moderate oxidation conditions that can be attained [34].

Bearing in mind the problems of recovery of the catalyst and the oxidative power of the commonly used

oxidants, in this article the results obtained in the enantioselective epoxidation of styrene are reported using DMD as an oxidizing agent and dimeric homochiral Mn(III)-Schiff base catalysts without immobilization.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1 General

Styrene, 3-tert-butil salicylaldehyde, trioxane, 3,5-di-tertbutil salicylaldehyde, (1R,2R)(-)diaminocyclohexane, N-Methylmorpholine-N-Oxide (NMO) and Pyridine-N-Oxide (PyNO) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. *N aHCO*3, toluene chloroform and dichloromethane were purchased of Merk. *N a*2*SO*⁴ and methanol were acquired of J. T. Baker. Finally, glacial acetic acid and ethanol were acquired of Fluka and Panreac, respectively. Thermo Scientific Nicolet is50 FT-IR Spectrometer with attenuated total reflectance was used for obtaining the infrared spectra. Thermo Scientific Evolution 300 UV spectrometer was used for acquired the UV-vis spectra. Varian CP-3800 chromatographic equipment was used for quantification of the reaction products using a B-Dex chiral capillary column (length 30 m, I.D 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 μm).

2.2 Catalyst synthesis

The synthesis procedure of dimeric homochiral Mn(III)- Schiff base was the result of the combination of the following steps: first, synthesis of the dialdehyde ligand [36], then synthesis of the Schiff base ligand [37] and finally the synthesis of the dimeric ligand and the catalyst according the method established by Kureshy *et al.* [35].

Synthesis of 5,5-methylene-di-3-tert-butyl salicylaldehyde (Dialdehyde Ligand) 1

Synthesis of dialdehyde was carried out with a minor modification to the reported method by Janssen *et al* [36]. In a typical experiment, a solution of 3-tert-butyl salicylaldehyde 73.8 mg (4.14 mmol) and trioxane 11.7 mg (1.3 mmol) in 10 ml of glacial acetic acid was heated to a temperature of 90-95 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. A mixture of concentrated sulfuric acid and glacial acetic acid (0.32/0.68 ml/ml) was dropwise added. The temperature of resulting solution was maintained for 24 h. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was poured into cold water and allowed to stand overnight. The precipitated solid was filtered and extracted with hexane (30 ml). The solvent was removed under vacuum at 68 °C, resulting in dialdehyde as a reddish yellow solid in 40% yield.

Figure 1 General scheme of the synthesis of dimeric catalyst 4 [35]

Synthesis of N-(2-hydroxy-3,4-di-tert-butyl benzaldehyde)-1-amino-2-cyclohexeneimine (Schiff Base) 2

Synthesis of the chiral Schiff base was carried out with a minor modification to the reported method [37]. In a typical procedure, 3,5-di-tert-butyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (3.02 mmol) and (1R,2R)(−)diaminocyclohexane (3.02 mmol) were stirred in chloroform at 0 °C for 12 h, and then the mixture was warmed up to room temperature. The solvent was removed under vacuum at 60 ºC and unreacted starting materials were removed by washing with water leading to the formation of the "half unit" Schiff base as a yellow solid in 84% yield.

Synthesis of 5,5-methylene di-[(R,R)-N-(3-tert-butyl salicylidine)-N'-(3',5'-di-tert-butyl salicylidene)-1,2 cyclohexanediamine] (Dimeric Ligand Schiff Base) 3

A solution of 5,5-methylene-di-3-tert-butyl salicylaldehyde (0.478 mmol) in ethanol was mixed with Schiff Base (0.956 mmol) in dichloromethane. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 8-10 h. The excess solvent was removed by vacuum and then precipitated out the chiral ligand as a greenish-yellow solid in 80% yield.

Synthesis of 5,5-methylene di-[(R,R)-N-(3-tert-butyl salicylidine)-N'-(3',5'-di-tert-butyl salicylidene)- 1,2-cyclohexanediaminato (2-) manganese(III) chloride] (Dimeric Catalyst) 4

0.20 mmol of dimeric ligand Schiff base dissolved in dichlorometane was mixed with 0.40 mmol of manganese acetate tetrahydrate dissolved in methanol under an inert atmosphere (N_2) . The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h, and subsequently, was cooled to room temperature. Subsequently, 0.40 mmol of lithium chloride was added and the resulting mixture was stirred while exposed to air for 5 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the evaporation residue was recrystallized in dichlorometane leading in homochiral dimeric catalyst as a brown solid in 90% yield. A general scheme of the synthesis of dimeric catalyst is summarized in Figure 1.

2.3 Catalyst characterization

The precursors and the synthesized catalyst were characterized by infrared spectroscopy in the region of 450 *cm−*¹ to 4000 *cm−*¹ and UV–Vis spectra were recorded in the range 200–700 nm.

2.4 Catalytic test

The enantioselective epoxidation of styrene using *in situ* generated dimethyldioxirane (DMD) as the oxidizing agent and dimeric homochiral Mn(III)-Schiff base was carried out varying olefin/oxidant molar ration, catalyst loading, reaction temperature and presence of nitrogen coordinating co-

catalysts. The initial reaction conditions were 0.17 mmol *KHSO*⁵ in 8 ml of water, 0.17 mmol styrene, 1 mol% catalyst, 17 °C, absence of co-catalyst and 15 min of reaction time. For all reactions, two solutions were used. Firstly, a buffer solution (aqueous *N aHCO*3, 5% w/w), for keeping the pH in a range of 7.8-8.3 values. This is because the pH control during the catalytic tests is important since the formation of DMD by reaction between acetone and *KHSO*⁵ takes place in a slightly basic pH range. The second solution was the amount required of $KHSO₅$ in form of Oxone® $(2KHSO₅•KHSO₄•K₂SO₄)$ dissolved in 8 ml of distilled water. The reaction time was determined by the time of addition of the second solution (approximately 15 min), time in which the reaction was stopped. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was centrifuged in order to remove the catalyst containing solid. To the free solid liquid phase, 5 mL of dichloromethane were added obtaining two phases: aqueous and organic (reaction products). The aqueous phase was discarded off. The organic phase was concentrated and dried with anhydrous *N a*2*SO*4. The reaction products were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) with flame ionization detector (FID) and using toluene (15 µl) as internal standard. The conditions of the method used were helium as mobile phase at 33 psi, split ratio = 100:1, injector and flame ionization detector temperature at 280 °C, heating program: 50 °C for 1 min, from 50 °C to 80 °C at heating rate of 2 °C/min, 80 °C for 2 min, from 80 °C to 200 °C at heating rate of 10 °C/min, 200 °C for 12 min.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Catalyst characterization

In Figure 2, the infrared spectrum of the dialdehyde ligand evidences the "bridge", in form of methylene, that connects the two aromatic rings by the presence of the peak between 760 and 650 *cm−*¹ and its overtone in 1740 (dotted black line) [38]. This signal remains present in the spectrum of the dimeric ligand and the dimeric catalyst. Both the Shiff base and the dimeric ligand Schiff base show a band (dotted red line) around 1630 *cm−*¹ , which is associated with the vibrations of the imine group (C=N) [8].

This band in the catalyst dimeric is displaced towards 1610 *cm−*¹ (dotted blue line) evidencing the complexation of Mn by dimeric salen ligand. Furthermore, the bands located at 485, 570 and 1535 *cm−*¹ corresponding to the bonds Mn-N, Mn-O and C–O are related with the complexation of the manganese atom [8]. On the other hand, it is observed that the dimerization of the Jacobsen catalyst does not generate additional vibrational effects besides the band corresponding to the "bridge" between the two aromatic rings, in comparison to commercial monomeric catalyst, which confirms the success of the synthesis [39].

Figure 3 UV-vis spectra of (1) Dialdehyde Ligand, (2) Schiff Base, (3) Dimeric Ligand Schiff Base, (4) Dimeric Catalyst, (5) Monomeric Catalyst. *bands corresponding to electronic transits given by the complexation of the metal

In Figure 3, the three ligands show bands that are close to 230 nm corresponding to transitions $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^*$, close to 262 nm that are attributed to transitions *π → π∗* and about 334 nm belonging to electronic transitions $n \rightarrow \pi *$ [8]. In the case of the dimeric catalyst two additional bands were observed, the first of them at 436 nm corresponding to metal-ligand charge transfers due to the complexation of the manganese atom, and the second of them at 510 nm attributed to electronic transitions $d \rightarrow d$ in the metal atomic orbitals [40]. As in the infrared spectra, in the ultraviolet spectra is observed that in comparison with the monomeric catalyst, the dimerization does not generate additional electronic transitions [39].

3.2 Catalytic activity

The dimeric homochiral Mn(III)-Schiff base complex catalyst was evaluated in the enantioselective epoxidation of styrene using *in situ* generated DMD. A scheme of this re-

Figure 4 Enantioselective epoxidation reaction of styrene using DMD generated *in situ* and catalyst 4

The enantiomeric excess is determined from chromatographic areas of the 2 enantiomers (styrene oxides) by using the following Equation (1) :

$$
e.e. = \left(\begin{array}{c} [major\; enantiomer] \\ - [minority\; enantiomer] \\ \hline [major\; enantiomer] \\ + [minority\; enantiomer] \end{array}\right) * 100 \qquad (1)
$$

In this case, the majority enantiomer is the styrene R-oxide. In gas chromatography, the detector response (areas under the curve) is proportional to the amount of analyte in the volume thereof, that is, to the concentration of the analyte. Therefore, concentrations are replaced by areas and this Equation (2) is used.

$$
e.e. = \begin{pmatrix} Area\ cannotiomer\ R\\ -Area\ enantiomer\ S\\ Area\ cannotiomer\ R\\ +Area\ enantiomer\ S \end{pmatrix} * 100 \tag{2}
$$

The conversion increases as the styrene/*KHSO*⁵ molar ratio increases (Figure 5). Because the oxidant dissociates and forms dimethyldioxirane when it comes in contact with acetone, there is a non-catalytic oxidation for those molecules distanced from the sterogenic center of the catalyst. For this reason, the increment in conversion is higher than the enantiomeric excess of the product.

The increase in the enantiomeric excess of the product by increasing the catalyst loading is due to the decrease in the non-catalytic oxidation route of styrene (Figure 6). The latter is due to a higher number of molecules of catalyst in the reaction, which allows a greater interaction between the incoming substrate and the catalytically active center. On the other hand, it is observed that the increase of the catalyst loading, from 2 to 5 mol%, does not have any considerable effect on the enantiomeric excess of the products.

As it is shown in Table 1, the presence of NMO or PyNO does not affect remarkably the catalytic activity. These compounds are used as oxygen transfer agents applied to the oxidant source from the aqueous phase to the organic phase. In this case, the oxidant is generated in the reaction medium (acetone-water homogeneous mixture), and therefore it is not expected to have any beneficial effect on the reaction.

Figure 5 Effect of the styrene/*KHSO*⁵ molar ration in the enantioselective epoxidation of styrene. Reaction conditions: 8 ml acetone, 0.17 mmol styrene, 1 mol% catalyst, 8 ml water with amount of $KHSO₅$ corresponding (dependent of styrene/*KHSO*⁵ molar ration), reaction time of 15 min and temperature of 17 °C

Figure 6 Effect of catalyst loading in the enantioselective epoxidation of styrene. Reaction conditions: 8 ml acetone, 0.17 mmol styrene, 8 ml water with 1.36 mmol *KHSO*5, 15 min and 17 °C

Table 1 Effect of the presence of a co-catalyst in the enantioselective epoxidation of styrene*

*Reaction conditions: 8 ml acetone, 0.17 mmol styrene, 2 mol% catalyst, 8 ml water with 1.36 mmol *KHSO*5, 15 min and 17°C.

Table 2 Effect of separation method in catalyst recovery

Table 3 Reusability of dimeric catalyst using centrifugation as catalyst recovery method

Figure 7 Effect of reaction temperature in the enantioselective epoxidation of styrene. Reaction conditions: 8 ml acetone, 0.17 mmol styrene, 2 mol% catalyst, 8 ml water with 1.36 mmol *KHSO*5, absence of co-catalyst and a reaction time of 15 min

Figure 8 FT-IR spectra of (a) Dimeric Catalyst fresh, (b) first reuse, (c) Second reuse, (d) Third reuse

At decreasing temperature, low conversions were obtained (Figure 7) due to decreasing kinetics energy. A constant amount of oxidant and low reaction kinetics result in an increment of non-catalytic epoxidation by the dissociation of *KHSO*5. It is well-known that in this reaction, the key point is the stereo-electronics interactions between the incoming substrate and catalytically active center [41]. At elevated temperatures (25°C), this type of interactions is not effective due to the increase of the reaction rate, resulting in total conversions of the substrate, but low enantiomeric excess of epoxides.

Table 2 shows that (1) regardless of the catalyst used (monomeric or dimeric) centrifugation is the best method of catalyst separation, this is because that the catalyst remains visibly evident in the filter paper used, (2) the dimeric catalyst is easier to recover than the monomeric catalyst due to the decrease of its solubility which in turn is given by molecular weight increase. Also, as expected, there is not a difference in reaction results.

The Table 3 shows that in the catalyst reusability experiments there is a loss in the enantiomeric excess of the epoxides. The previous was attributed to physical losses of the catalyst during the separation process since the infrared spectrums of the reused catalyst do not reveal any type of oxidative degradation (see Figure 8). However, since the amount of catalyst recovered each time is less, there is a decrease in the intensity of signals in the spectrum.

It was found that in absence of catalyst, a similar conversion than the catalyzed reaction was reached. However, the enantiomeric excess decreases significantly (3% e.e.) because there is no sterogenic center (catalyst) "directing" the reaction towards a single enantiomer. On the other hand, no reaction was observed in absence of *KHSO*5.

4. Conclusions

The dimeric homochiral Mn(III) Schiff base complex 1, catalyzed successfully the enantioselective epoxidation of

styrene. The best results (enantiomeric excess of 66%) were obtained using 2 mol% of catalyst, a styrene/*KHSO*⁵ molar ratio of 0.125, a reaction temperature of 17 °C and absence of a co-catalyst. Increasing the molecular weight of the Jacobsen's catalyst decrease its solubility, promoting the separation of reaction products and the catalyst reusability. The recovered catalyst was reused up to three times with a negligible loss of the catalytic activity due to the lost physics of the catalyst during the process of catalyst recovery. However, the catalyst did not present oxidative degradation thanks to the controlled exposition to an oxidant medium by the dosage of the diluted *KHSO*5. In this way, mild reaction conditions were found to increase the stability of the catalyst and to drive a truly heterogeneous catalytic process.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge to University Pedagogical and Technological of Colombia, and to the Catalysis Group for providing the necessary resources to carry out this research. Brayan Verdugo, thanks for the support received through the announcement No. 19 of 2016 "Economic Stimulus for UPTC Young Investigators".

References

- [1] Y. Chen and *et al.*, "Reusable chiral salen Mn(III) complexes with phase transfer capability efficiently catalyze the asymmetric epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins with NaClO," *Applied Catalysis A: General*, vol. 495, pp. 106–115, Feb. 2015.
- [2] A. Lattanzi, *Asymmetric Epoxidations of α,β-Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2010, ch. 9, pp. 351–391.
- [3] V. Caprio and J. Williams, "Epoxidation," in *Catalysis in Asymmetric Synthesis*. United Kingdom: Wiley-Blackwell, 2009, pp. 88–90.
- [4] Q. H. Xia, H. Q. Ge, C. P. Ye, Z. M. Liu, and K. X. Su, "Advances in homogeneous and Heterogeneous Catalytic Asymmetric Epoxidation," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 105, no. 5, pp. 1603–1662, 2005.
- [5] Z. Wang, "Jacobsen-Katsuki Epoxidation," in *Comprehensive Organic Name Reactions and Reagents*, Z. Wang, Ed. USA: Wiley, 2009, p. 1534.
- [6] H. Adolfsson, "Product class 2: Epoxides (Oxiranes)," in *Science of Synthesis: Houben-Weyl Methods of Molecular Transformations Vol. 37: Ethers*, C. J. Forsyth, Ed. Stuttgart, Germany: Georg Thieme Verlag, 2014, p. 251.
- [7] R. I. Kureshy and *et al.*, "Enantioselective epoxidation of nonfunctionalized alkenes catalyzed by recyclable new homochiral dimeric mn(III) salen complexes," *Aerosp. and Electron. Syst.*, vol. 224, no. 2, pp. 229–235, Jun. 2004.
- [8] J. Cubillos, S. Vásquez, and C. M. de Correa, "Salen manganese (III) complexes as catalysts for R-(+)-limonene oxidation," *Applied Catalysis A: General*, vol. 373, no. 1-2, pp. 57–65, Jan. 2010.
- [9] K. C. Gupta, A. K. Sutar, and C. C. Lin, "Polymer-supported Schiff base complexes in oxidation reactions," *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 253, no. 13–14, pp. 1926–1946, Jul. 2009.
- [10] A. S. Amarasekara, I. McNeal, J. Murillo, D. Green, and A. Jennings, "A simple one-pot synthesis of Jacobson–Katsuki type chiral Mn(III)– salen catalyst immobilized in silica by sol–gel process and applications in asymmetric epoxidation of alkenes," *Catalysis Communications*, vol. 9, no. 14, pp. 2437–2440, Aug. 2008.
- [11] X. Tang, Y. Tang, G. Xu, S. Wei, and Y. Sun, "Highly enantioselec-tive epoxidation of styrene and α-methylstyrene catalyzed by new doubly-immobilized chiral (salen)mn(III) catalysts," *Catalysis Communications*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 317–320, Dec. 2008.
- [12] W. Ren and X. Fu, "Chiral mn (III) salen complexes covalently bonded on zirconium oligostyrenylphosphonate-phosphates as catalysts for enantioselective epoxidation of nonfunctionalized alkenes," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, vol. 312, no. 1-2, pp. 40–47, Oct. 2009.
- [13] X. Tu, X. Fu, X. Hu, and Y. Li, "Chiral salen mn(III) immobilized on sulfoalkyl modified zsp-ippa as an effective catalyst for asymmetric epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins," *Inorganic Chemistry Communications*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 404–407, Mar. 2010.
- [14] R. I. Kureshy and *et al.*, "Encapsulation of a chiral MnIII(salen) complex in ordered mesoporous silicas: an approach towards hetero-genizing asymmetric epoxidation catalysts for nonfunctionalized alkenes," *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, vol. 16, no. 21, pp. 3562–3569, Oct. 2005.
- [15] R. I. Kureshy and et al., "Dicationic chiral Mn(III) salen complex exchanged in the in-terlayers of montmorillonite clay: a heterogeneous enantioselec-tive catalyst for epoxidation of nonfunctionalized alkenes," *Journal of Catalysis*, vol. 221, no. 1, pp. 234–240, Jan. 2004.
- [16] S. Tangestaninejad, M. Moghadam, V. M. I. M. Baltorkand, and M. S. Saeedi, "Efficient epoxidation of alkenes with sodium periodate catalyzed by reusable manganese(iii) salophen supported on multiwall carbon nanotubes," *Applied Catalysis A: General*, vol. 381, no. 1-2, pp. 233–241, Jun. 2010.
- [17] R. Tan, D. Yin, N. Yu, H. Zhao, and D. Yin, "Easily recyclable polymeric ionic liquid-functionalized chiral salen Mn(III) complex for enantioselective epoxidation of styrene," *Journal of Catalysis*, vol. 263, no. 2, pp. 284–291, Apr. 2009.
- [18] Y. Chen and *et al.*, "Reusable chiral salen Mn(III) complexes with phase transfer capability efficiently catalyze the asymmetric epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins with naclo," *Applied Catalysis A: General*, vol. 491, no. 5, pp. 106–115, Feb. 2015.
- [19] J. M. Fraile, J. I. García, J. A. Mayoral, and E. Pires, "Heterogenization on Inorganic Supports: Methods and Applications," in *Heterogenized Homogeneous Catalysts for Fine Chemicals Production: Materials and Processes*. Dordrecht, Holanda Meridional: Springer Netherlands, 2010, pp. 65–119.
- [20] R. I. Kureshy, N. H. Khan, S. H. R. Abdi, and R. V. Jasra, "New Approaches to Develop Recyclable Catalyst for the Synthesis of Chiral Epoxides," in *Catalysis Research at the Cutting Edge*, L. P. Bevy, Ed. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers, 2005, p. 126.
- [21] S. M. Coman, G. Poncelet, and V. I. Pârvulescu, "Asymmetric Catalysis by Heterogeneous Catalysts," in *Surface and Nanomolecular Catalysis*, R. Richards, Ed. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, 2006, p. 495.
- [22] O. Deutschmann, H. Knözinger, K. Kochloefl, and T. Turek, *Heteroge-neous Catalysis and Solid Catalysts*. American Cancer Society, 2009.
- [23] R. I. Kureshy and *et al.*, "Enantioselective epoxidation of nonfunctionalised alkenes catalysed by dimeric homochiral mn(iii) salen complex using oxone as oxidant," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, vol. 203, no. 1-2, pp. 69–73, Sep. 2003.
- [24] R. Ji, K. Yu, L. L. Lou, and S. Liu, "Reactivity comparison of epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins with different oxidants catalyzed by mesoporous silica supported unsymmetric chiral Mn (III) salen com-plexes in heterogeneous condition," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, vol. 378, pp. 7–16, Nov. 2013.
- [25] F. P.Ballistrerio and *et al*, "Enantioselective epoxidations of alkenes catalyzed by (salen)Mn(III) in aqueous surfactant systems," *Tetrahedron*, vol. 64, no. 44, pp. 10 239–10 243, Oct. 2008.
- [26] C. Baleizão and H. Garcia, "Chiral salen complexes: An Overview to Recoverable and Reusable Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Catalysts," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 106, no. 9, pp. 3987–4043, 2006.
- [27] J. Huang, Y. Iuo, and J. Cai, "Enantioselective epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins by jacobsen's catalyst immobilized on aminomodified ZnPS-PVPA," *Chinese Journal of Catalysis*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 1539–1548, Sep. 2016.
- [28] J. Huang, L. Yuan, J. Cai, and D. Qi, "Effect of linkers on the per-

formance of ZnPS-BrPPAS supported chiral Mn (III) salen for the epoxidation of unfunctionalized olefins," *Synthetic Metals*, vol. 115, pp. 104–109, May. 2016.

- [29] P. Pietikäineno, "Convenient asymmetric (salen)Mn(III)-catalyzed epoxidation of unfunctionalized alkenes with hydrogen peroxide us-4319–4326, Apr. 1998.
- [30] T. Yamada, Kiyomi Imagawa, Takushi Nagata, and Teruaki Mukaiyama, " Aerobic Enantioselective Epoxidation of Unfunctionalized Olefins Catalyzed by Optically Active Salen-Manganese (III) Complexes," *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 2248–2256, Aug. 1994.
- [31] A. Saeed and *et al.*, "Recent resurgence toward the oxidation of heteroatoms using dimethyldioxirane as an exquisite oxidant," *Synthetic Communications*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 835–852, 2017.
- [32] W. Adam and *et al.*, "Enantioselective epoxidation of 2,2 dimethyl-2H-chromenes by dimethyldioxirane and jacobsen's Mn(III)salen catalysts," *Tetrahedron Letters*, vol. 36, no. 21, pp. 3669–3672, May. 1995.
- [33] W. Adam and et al., " Enantioselective epoxidation of isoflavones by jacobsen's M (III) salen catalysts and dimethyldioxirane oxygenatom source," *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 1121–1124, Apr. 1998.
- [34] J. Cubillos and W. Hölderich, "Jacobsen's catalyst anchored on Al-MCM-41 and NH2 group modified Si-MCM-41 as heteroge-neous enantioselective epoxidation catalyst using in situ generated dimethyldioxirane as oxidant," *Revista Facultad de I ngeniería Universidad de Antioquia*, no. 41, pp. 31–47, Sep. 2017.
- [35] R. I. Kureshy, N. H. Khan, S. H. R. Abdi, S. T. Patel, and R. V. Jasrav, "Enantioselective epoxidation of non-functionalised alkenes using a urea–hydrogen peroxide oxidant and a dimeric homochiral Mn(III)-schiff base complex catalyst," *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 433–437, Mar. 2001.
- ing carboxylate salt cocatalysts," *Tetrahedron*, vol. 54, no. 17, pp. [36] K. B. M.Janssen and *et al.*, "A dimeric form of jacobsen's catalyst for improved retention in a polydimethylsiloxane membrane, *Tetrahe-dron: Asymmetry*, vol. 8, no. 20, pp. 3481–3487, Oct. 1997.
	- [37] M. Barwiolek, E. Szlyk, A. Surdykowski, and A. Wojtczak, "New nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes with unsymmetrical schiff bases derived from (1R,2R)(−)cyclohexanediamine and the application of Cu(II) complexes for hybrid thin layers deposition," *Dalton Trans.*, vol. 42, pp. 11 476–11 487, 2013.
	- [38] F.Rojo. (2016) Tablas de Espectroscopía Infrarroja. [Online]. Available: [http://depa.fquim.unam.mx/amyd/archivero/TablasIR_34338.](http://depa.fquim.unam.mx/amyd/archivero/TablasIR_34338.pdf) [pdf](http://depa.fquim.unam.mx/amyd/archivero/TablasIR_34338.pdf)
	- [39] B. M. Dioos and P. A. Jacobs, "Impregnation of dimeric CrIII(salen) on silica and its application in epoxide asymmetric ring opening reactions," *Applied Catalysis A: General*, vol. 282, no. 1-2, pp. 181–188, Mar. 2005.
	- [40] R. Luo and *et al.*, "Stable chiral salen Mn(III) complexes with builtin phase-transfer capability for the asymmetric epoxidation of unfunc-tionalized olefins using NaOCl as an oxidant," *Journal of catalysis*, vol. 287, pp. 170–177, Mar. 2012.
	- [41] T. Katsuki, *Asymmetric Oxidations and Related Reactions: Asymmetric Epoxidation of Unfunctionalized Olefins and Related Reactions*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2005, pp. 287–325.