



Effectivity of UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ and biological treatments for recovering effluents from an industrial estate

Eficacia de Tratamientos UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ y biológicos para la valorización de efluentes de un polígono industrial

Julie Joseane Murcia-Mesa ¹, Mariana Alejandra Gil-Agudelo ¹, Jhon Sebastián Hernández-Niño ¹,
Claudia Patricia Castañeda-Martínez ^{1*}

¹Grupo de Catálisis, Escuela de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia UPTC. Avenida Central del Norte. Tunja, Boyacá, Colombia

CITE THIS ARTICLE AS:

J. J. Murcia-Mesa, M. A. Gil-Agudelo, J. S. Hernández-Niño and C. P. Castañeda-Martínez
"Effectivity of UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ and biological treatments for recovering effluents from an industrial estate", *Revista Facultad de Ingeniería Universidad de Antioquia*, no. 118, pp. e50365, Jan-Mar 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.doi.org/10.17533/udea.redin.20250365>

ARTICLE INFO:

Received: April 11, 2024
Accepted: March 14, 2025
Available online: March 14, 2025

KEYWORDS:

AOTs, photochemistry;
biological treatment;
wastewater treatment;
UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂

TAOs; fotoquímica;
tratamiento biológico;
tratamiento de aguas
residuales; UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂

ABSTRACT: In the present study were evaluated a biological treatment and several Advanced Oxidation Technologies (AOTs), including TiO₂/UV-Vis, H₂O₂-UV-VIS and TiO₂/H₂O₂/UV-Vis for industrial wastewater treatment. The experiments were carried out both in a laboratory reactor and in a 120 L/s pilot plant with autonomous operation under solar energy. The individual application of the biological treatment using a commercial bacteria strain led to a significant decrease in total hydrocarbons, sulfates, total organic carbon (TOC), hardness, alkalinity, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), and chemical oxygen demand (COD), in the starting industrial wastewater sample. It was also observed that the application of UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ as combined treatments, is more effective than biological treatment and individual AOTs reducing certain quality parameters, such as conductivity, chlorides, nitrates, turbidity, fats and oils, total suspended solids, settleable solids, acidity, TOC, total coliform bacteria and in the removal of heavy metals (Zn, Cu, Cr, Ni, Fe, Pb). In addition, the use of a sequential treatment, initially applying a AOTs and subsequently a biological treatment, resulted in an improvement in the removal of contaminants such as chlorides, heavy metals (Fe, Pb), nitrates and hardness. Thus, the results suggest that the sequential combination of AOTs and biological treatment is an effective strategy for the recovery of industrial wastewater, achieving a greater reduction of contaminants compared to the application of each treatment separately, thus improving the final quality of the treated water.

RESUMEN: En este estudio se evaluó un tratamiento biológico y algunas Tecnologías Avanzadas de Oxidación (TAOs) como TiO₂/UV-Vis, H₂O₂-UV-Vis and TiO₂/H₂O₂/UV-Vis en el tratamiento de aguas residuales industriales. Los experimentos se llevaron a cabo en reactor a escala de laboratorio y en una planta piloto con capacidad de 120 L/s con autonomía de operación bajo luz solar. La aplicación individual del tratamiento biológico usando un cultivo bacteriano comercial permitió obtener una disminución significativa en los hidrocarburos totales, sulfatos, TOC, dureza, alcalinidad, DBO₅, DQO en la muestra de agua residual inicial. Se observó también que la aplicación de los tratamientos combinados UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂, resultó más efectiva que el tratamiento biológico o las TAOs individuales, llevando a disminuir parámetros como conductividad, cloruros, nitratos, turbidez, grasas y aceites, sólidos suspendidos totales, sólidos sedimentables, acidez, TOC, bacterias coliformes totales y a la remoción de metales pesados (Zn, Cu, Cr, Ni, Fe y Pb). Adicionalmente, el uso del tratamiento secuencial, aplicando inicialmente TAOs y a continuación el tratamiento biológico, llevó a mejorar la remoción de contaminantes como cloruros, metales pesados (Fe y Pb), nitratos y dureza.

En general, los resultados sugieren que la combinación secuencial de TAOs y el tratamiento biológico es una estrategia efectiva para la recuperación de aguas residuales industriales, logrando una alta reducción de los contaminantes, comparada con la aplicación de cada

* Corresponding author: Claudia Patricia Castañeda-Martínez

E-mail: claudia.castaneda@uptc.edu.co

ISSN 0120-6230

e-ISSN 2422-2844

tratamiento separado, mejorando así la calidad final del agua tratada.

1. Introduction

The rise in industrial effluents globally has had a significant impact, as they are often discharged untreated into natural water bodies [1, 2]. This has led to an urgent need for new treatment methods, along with the recovery and recycling of wastewater, and the study of pollutant degradation [3–7], which are pressing issues for both the scientific and governmental sectors.

Biological treatment offers an eco-friendly approach to reducing industrial pollution through bacterial metabolism [8–17]. Bacteria are particularly effective at degrading a wide range of organic pollutants, including chlorinated aromatic compounds, sulfur-based dyes, and many others [18]. Moreover, recent studies have shown that using mixed cultures or microbial consortia enhances the efficiency of pollutant degradation in real environmental contexts [19].

However, the efficiency of contaminant biodegradation can be affected by factors such as salinity, temperature, pH, nitrogen and carbon sources, inoculum concentration, water hardness, chloride concentration, and the presence of metals [20]. These factors are more difficult to control in industrial effluents, which often contain a variety of pollutants. In this study, the effluents analyzed primarily originated from dairy production, food processing, restaurants, car repair shops, and poultry production. In contrast, domestic wastewater, which is also part of the effluents studied, mainly consists of organic carbon, either dissolved or as particulate matter [21]. This mixture of industrial and domestic wastewater creates a complex environment well-suited for biological treatment. Microorganisms degrade complex contaminants, using them as nutrients and converting them into simpler, harmless end products such as CO₂ and H₂O [9]. However, despite biological treatment's effectiveness in breaking down complex toxic substances, it has limitations, including the time required, the need for large facilities, and the potential persistence of heavy metals and certain organic pollutants (such as pharmaceuticals).

To address these limitations, Advanced Oxidation Technologies (AOTs), such as UV-Vis, UV-Vis/H₂O₂, and others, have been recognized as effective tertiary treatment methods for wastewater, particularly in degrading recalcitrant organic compounds [3–5, 22, 23]. These processes generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) like •OH, H₂O₂, and O₂•-, which can break down pollutants into CO₂ and water through mineralization [24, 25]. Additionally, ROS can deactivate microorganisms by

damaging their cell walls, leading to their elimination [26–31]. AOTs offer several advantages over biological treatments, including shorter treatment times and the ability to efficiently remove heavy metals through heterogeneous photocatalysis, where metals are chemically reduced on the surface of semiconductors [27, 32–36].

The combination of AOTs with biological treatments offers a promising synergistic approach. Biological processes are highly effective at removing biodegradable organic matter [37], while AOTs are remarkably efficient at mineralizing recalcitrant compounds and breaking down pollutants that persist after biological treatment, including heavy metals and pharmaceutical residues [38, 39]. This combined approach enhances pollutant removal efficiency, resulting in cleaner effluents suitable for discharge or reuse.

For instance, previous reports demonstrated the potential of this approach in treating landfill leachates by coupling solar TiO₂-UV photocatalysis with an anaerobic biological process (SMA), achieving a 57% mineralization of COD through photocatalysis and a total of 78% mineralization with the combined process [40]. In a similar study, a UV/H₂O₂ system integrated with a biological process for treating industrial wastewater was evaluated, achieving a 49.4% removal in COD and an 85% elimination of TOC after 60 minutes of irradiation [41]. The partially treated wastewater was then mixed with municipal wastewater and further treated biologically, resulting in efficient total nitrogen removal (85%) and significant organic carbon reduction (41.8%), indicating successful denitrification and a final pH suitable for conventional water treatments. These studies demonstrate that the combination of biological treatment and advanced oxidation technologies (AOTs) represents a promising alternative for wastewater recovery.

Besides, over the past decade, various reactor configurations have been employed for AOTs in wastewater treatment. Currently, the development of innovative photocatalytic materials is as relevant as the design and modeling of these reactors [26, 42].

In this context, the primary objective of this research was to evaluate the effectiveness of different AOTs at both laboratory and pilot plant levels, and to combine the most effective treatment with a microbiological approach using facultative microorganisms capable of operating under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Several studies worldwide have reported the successful removal of contaminants and bacteria from wastewater under laboratory conditions [3–5]. However, under realistic conditions, it is crucial to analyze multiple parameters of

water quality control, and the results obtained must be compared with the relevant governmental regulations [43].

In order to make a significant contribution to regional or global communities, this work assessed the effectiveness of the best AOTs in a batch reactor and a patented device. Additionally, two different governmental regulations were considered as quality references: one for the discharge of industrial effluents and another for the recycling of treated wastewater. In line with the objective of this research, the main question this study seeks to answer is: how effective can the combination of UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ and biological treatments be for recovering effluents originating from an industrial area?

2. Material and methods

2.1 Industrial wastewater sampling

The wastewater used in this study consisted of a mixture of domestic water and industrial effluents from dairy production, food processing, restaurants, car repair shops, and poultry production. These samples were collected at the sewer outlet box located in an industrial estate (coordinates: 5°47'34"N 73°3'50"W). The Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (SMEWW) [44] was used as the guideline for this procedure. After sampling, the effluents were analyzed according to the methods described below.

2.2 Industrial wastewater characterization

The effluents were analyzed taking into account as main quality parameters, those included in Colombian regulations for industrial discharges (Regulatory Resolution 631-2015) and for crop irrigation water (Regulatory Resolution 1207-2014). The wastewater sampling and other analyses were also performed by the SMEWW [44] (Table 1).

2.3 Biological depuration

The biological treatment was carried out by using commercial facultative microorganisms (BIOMERK®HC). Thus, 5.00 g (2x10⁹ Colony Forming Units/g) were added to 10 L of effluent sample; then, this suspension was kept under aerobic conditions for 3 months.

2.4 AOTs at lab scale

In a batch reactor containing 250 mL of wastewater was added 1 g/L of TiO₂ (Sigma Aldrich) as a photocatalyst and/or 0.07 M of hydrogen peroxide (Perhydrol™ for analysis EMSURE ISO). These conditions were selected considering previous studies [45, 46]. An Osram

Ultravitalux lamp was employed as a light source (30 W/m²). The total reaction time was 4 hours under stirring and oxygen flux. A blank reaction (photolysis) without TiO₂ or hydrogen peroxide was previously performed. It should be noted that all tests were performed twice.

2.5 AOTs at the pilot plant

The pilot plant assays were carried out in a sunlight reactor using a patented design with 120 L of capacity operating with continuous flow (Figure 1) [47]. The main operation parameters were a volumetric flow rate of 0.50 L/s, length of 22.5 m, total residence time of 36 s (recirculation cycle), and 7 h of reaction. The pilot plant features a main storage tank where the wastewater is treated and the necessary chemical products are added. For these tests, the AOTs that showed the best performance in wastewater recovery at the lab scale were selected. Finally, a comparison by simultaneous application of the best AOTs and biological depuration was also attempted. For this stage, to remove the TiO₂ after treatment at the pilot plant level the treated water sample was previously filtered (Whatman® quantitative filter paper, ashless, Grade 40), and then, this sample was submitted to biological depuration as described in section 3.3. The effectiveness of the treatments applied was evaluated by the parameters included in Table 1 and by duplicate.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Starting industrial effluent characterization

The main results obtained in the starting characterization for the effluents under study are summarized in Table 2. As can be seen in this table, the effluents present values that are not compliant with the Colombian regulations for crop irrigation water, as indicated in the regulatory resolution number 1207 of 2014, for treated wastewater recycling in crop irrigation [48]. In the present research is very important to consider this norm, mainly because the effluents in the study, are discharged directly into a river, and then the water of this affluent is conventionally used for agricultural activities. Likewise, one of the objectives of the present study was to analyze the recycling potential of the wastewater after treatment and recovery in agreement with government regulations.

To analyze the effectiveness of the treatments applied and to achieve a better quality of the wastewater before it is discharged into the drain, the regulatory resolution for industrial discharges [49] and other parameters were also considered.

Table 1 Methods employed for the characterization of the industrial effluents

Regulatory resolution 1207-2014		
Quality parameter	Unit	Method
pH	Units of pH	Electrometric method SM 4500-H ⁺ -B
Conductivity	$\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$	Potentiometric method SM 2510-B
Total phenols		UV-Vis Spectrophotometry
Total hydrocarbons		Gravimetric method SM 5520-F
Free cyanide		Titrimetric method SM 4500 CN-B, C, E, I
Chlorides		Argentometric method SM 4500-Cl-B
Fluorides		Selective ion method SM 4500-F
Sulfates		Turbidimetric method SM 4500-SO ₄ ²⁻
Aluminum		Aluminum method 8012 HACH
Beryllium		Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (nitrous oxide-acetylene flame) SM 3030F; SM 3111 D
Molybdenum		Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (nitrous oxide-acetylene flame) SM 3111 B
Cobalt		Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (nitrous oxide-acetylene flame) SM 3111 B
Manganese		Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (nitrous oxide-acetylene flame) SM 3111 B
Sodium		Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (nitrous oxide-acetylene flame) SM 3030F; SM 3111 B
Lithium		Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (nitrous oxide-acetylene flame) SM 3030F; SM 3111 B
Cadmium		
Zinc		
Cooper		
Chromium		Preliminary treatment by acid digestion nitric acid-sulfuric acid SM 3030-G; Atomic absorption spectrophotometry (nitrous oxide-acetylene flame) SM 3111 B
Iron		
Nickel		
Lead		
Mercury		
Arsenic		
Selenium		Atomic absorption electrothermal spectrophotometry SM 3030 F, SM 3113 B
Total residual chlorine		Amperometric valoration 4500-Cl D [40]
Boron		
Vanadium		UV-Vis spectrophotometry
Nitrates		Spectrophotometric method SM 4500-NO ₃ -B
Regulatory Resolution 631-2015		
Tristimulus color (436 nm, 525 nm, and 620 nm)	m-1	Spectrophotometric method SM. 2120-C
Fats and oils	mg/L	Liquid-liquid method, partition-gravimetric SM 5520-B
Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	mg/L O ₂	Closed reflux method, colorimetric method SM 5220-D
Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD ₅)	mg/L O ₂	5 days incubation method and electrometric measurement SM 5210-B
Total alkalinity	mg/L CaCO ₃	Titrimetric method SM 2320-B
Total suspended solids	mg/L	Gravimetric method SM 2540-B
Sedimentable solids	mL/L	Method SM 2540-F
Total acidity	mg/L CaCO ₃	Titrimetric method SM 2310-B
Total hardness	mg/L CaCO ₃	The titrimetric method with EDTA SM 2340- C
Calcic hardness	mg/L CaCO ₃	The titrimetric method with EDTA SM 3500 Ca-B
Phosphates	mg/L	Ascorbic acid method SM 4500-P-B
Complementary analyzes		
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	High-temperature combustion method SM 5310-B
Total coliforms	CFU/100 mL	Membrane filtration method SM 9222-B

3.2 Biological purification of industrial wastewater

The results obtained from the biological treatment of the effluents over three months are summarized in Table 2.

Considering the high number of required physicochemical analyses, this study focused solely on those that are essential for the recycling of treated water. The results are described, explained, and discussed carefully below.


- First, by comparing the results obtained after

biological treatment with the maximum permissible limits for water used for crop irrigation and other quality parameters (experimental section), it was found that the tristimulus color of the effluent samples measured at 436, 525 y 625 nm decreased until 73.6, 72.2 and 75.4%, respectively; as it was reported by different specialized authors [50, 51], this behavior indicates that the vegetable extracts and the organic and inorganic compounds have been effectively employed for the microorganisms metabolism.



Figure 1 Pilot plant for wastewater treatment [47]

- The pH value slightly increases after treatment, because of the transformation of organic compounds into water and CO₂ [52].
- Parameters such as BOD⁵, COD, and TOC significantly decrease at 67.35, 60.28 and 42.45%, respectively. To understand these results, is important to indicate that the COD corresponds to the oxygen content requested for organic matter degradation by chemical routes [53]; in contrast, the BOD is the oxygen content necessary for organic matter degradation by biological mechanisms (i.e. microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and/or plankton) [54]. Thus, the results obtained indicate that microorganisms have used dissolved oxygen as a source of organic matter degradation [53–55]. It is also important to observe that the values obtained for COD were higher than those observed for BOD, it is mainly due to the oxidation of non-biodegradable compounds [56]. Besides, is relevant to consider that the COD/BOD ratio is also a water quality control parameter; in this work the value of the ratio was 1.44, indicating a high fraction of biodegradable material available for biological treatment [57].
- On the other hand, as expected, other parameters such as total hydrocarbons, fats, and oils, were decreased by 84%. This result is mainly due to the action of the microorganisms provided by BIOMERK®HC. Thus, as this commercial trademark indicates [58], the microorganisms create their bio-surfactants for the emulsion of fat and oils. Simultaneously, the fraction of remaining organic compounds is consumed by the microorganisms, thus obtaining CO₂ and water from the biological metabolism as the final products.
- Besides organic matter, microorganisms also need some macronutrients such as phosphorous and nitrogen [59]. Firstly, phosphorous is assimilated as phosphates; then, nitrogen can be processed as ammonium or nitrate [59]. In general, in the present study, the nitrates were eliminated at 21.97%, indicating that it is necessary to apply a complementary treatment to obtain a better remotion of this pollutant. The facultative organisms are responsible for denitrification, in this mechanism, nitrites act as electron acceptors and the carbon present in organic matter acts as a substrate, this process is described in Equation 1 [60].



- On the other hand, the removal of phosphate was 54.13%, thus showing a high consumption of this nutrient by microorganisms. In the case of sulfates, it was achieved at 91.97% of removal, this behavior can be explained by the presence of sulfate-reducing bacteria in the biological inoculant; this kind of bacteria can chemically reduce the sulfates to sulfides and H₂S [61].
- Total suspended solids and sedimentable solids significantly increased in the wastewater after biological treatment. This is mainly due to the sedimentation of non-biodegradable material, because of microorganism metabolism [62].
- The increase observed in the acidity value after treatment, was due to the production of H₂CO₃ by the CO₂ coming from the organic matter degradation [63] [Equation2].




Table 2 Results obtained in the starting industrial effluents characterization and after treatments, comparison with Colombian regulations.

Water Quality Control Parameters	Units	Maximum permissible limit in regulatory resolution 1207-2014 [39]	Starting Industrial effluent	Biological depuration	AOTs at the lab scale			pilot plant		UV-Vis/ H ₂ O ₂ / TiO ₂ and Biological depuration
					UV-Vis Photolysis	UV-Vis/ TiO ₂	UV-Vis/ H ₂ O ₂	UV-Vis/ H ₂ O ₂ / TiO ₂	UV-Vis/ H ₂ O ₂ / TiO ₂	
pH	pH scale	6.00–9.00	7.40±0.141	8.33±0.125	8.65±0.102	8.45±0.090	8.85±0.062	8.90±0.035	8.52±0.110	8.14±0
Conductivity	μS/cm	1500	1940±0.102	2660±42.4	N.D	N.D	N.D	1070 ± 21.2	750±31.8	1164.5±24.7
Total Hydrocarbons	mg/L	1.00	13.30	<2.00	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	<2.00	N.D
Free cyanide	mg/L	0.20	<0.024	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Chlorides	mg/L	300	212.4±0.707	225±7.07	N.D	N.D	N.D	148±0.500	99±0.710	33.5±0.707
Fluorides	mg/L	1.00	0.22±0.009	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Sulfates	mg/L	500	152	12.20	N.D	N.D	N.D	41	35	N.D
Aluminum	mg/L	5.00	0.16	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Beryllium	mg/L	0.10	<0.08	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.07	<0.05	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Cobalt	mg/L	0.05	<0.043	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Manganese	mg/L	0.20	0.18±0.011	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Sodium	mg/L	200	125±5.65	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Lithium	mg/L	2.50	<0.100	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Cadmium	mg/L	0.01	5.90x10 ⁻³ 8.00x10 ⁻⁴	<3.00x10 ⁻³ 1.00x10 ⁻⁴	<3.00x10 ⁻³ ±1.00x10 ⁻⁴	<3.00x10 ⁻³ ±1.00x10 ⁻⁴	<3.00x10 ⁻³ 1.00x10 ⁻⁴	<3.00x10 ⁻³ 1.00x10 ⁻⁴	<3.00x10 ⁻³ 1.00x10 ⁻⁴	N.D
Zinc	mg/L	3.00	12.2±0.045	5.83±0.150	8.50±0.280	5.40±0.270	6.51±0.088	0.33±0.078	0.80±0.164	1.02±0.164
Copper	mg/L	1.00	0.15±0.020	0.14±0	0.14±0.020	0.10±0.006	0.14±0.002	0.11±0.033	0.15±0.015	0.210±0.093
Chromium	mg/L	0.10	0.58±0.050	0.520±0.062	0.62±0.022	0.23±0.030	0.56±0.050	0.39±0.025	0.33±0.161	0.350±0.093
Iron	mg/L	5.00	4.74±0.350	5.50±0.170	4.08±0.210	1.23±0.056	2.74±0.270	0.028±0	1.55±0.630	1.30±0.046
Nickel	mg/L	0.20	1.52±0.273	1.70±0.210	1.26±0.100	1.16±0.030	1.25±0.015	0.026±0.012	0.55±0.092	0.800±0.110
Lead	mg/L	5.00	5.84±0.172	6.43±0.051	2.90±0.017	0.67±0.120	1.01±0.085	0.27±0	0.67±0.232	0.410±0.091
Mercury	mg/L	0.002	<1.00x10 ⁻⁵ ±2.00x10 ⁻⁶	<1.00x10 ⁻⁵ ±1.00x10 ⁻⁶	<1.00x10 ⁻⁵ ±1.00x10 ⁻⁶	<1.00x10 ⁻⁵ ±1.00x10 ⁻⁶	<1.00x10 ⁻⁵ ±1.00x10 ⁻⁶	<1.00x10 ⁻⁵ ±1.00x10 ⁻⁶	<1.00x10 ⁻⁵ ±1.00x10 ⁻⁶	N.D
Arsenic	mg/L	0.10	<7.37x10 ⁻³	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Selenium	mg/L	0.02	<0.010	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D
Total residual chlorine	mg/L	<1.00	>3.00	>3.00	N.D	N.D	>3.00	>3.00	>3.00	>3.0
Nitrates	mg/L	5.00	13.20±0.780	10.3±0.640	N.D	N.D	N.D	5.30±0.092	9.15±0.057	0.900±0.071
Regulatory Resolution 631 of 2015 [49]										
Tristimular color (436 nm)	m ⁻¹	N.R	14.60	3.86	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	0.052	N.D
Tristimular color (525 nm)		N.R	11.50	3.20	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	0.047	N.D
Tristimular color (620 nm)		N.R	10.00	2.46	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	0.050	N.D
Fats and oils		N.R	30.00	4.28	N.D	N.D	N.D	<4.00	<4.00	N.D
COD	mg/L	N.R	400	158.90	N.D	N.D	N.D	359	266.05	N.D
BOD ₅	mg/L	N.R	277.80	90.70	N.D	N.D	N.D	230.20	155.40	N.D
Total alkalinity	mg/L CaCO ₃	N.R	480	220	N.D	N.D	N.D	416	373	N.D
Total suspended solids	mg/L	N.R	37.50	171	N.D	N.D	N.D	2.00	1.50	N.D
Sedimentable Solids	mL/L	N.R	1.00	4.00	N.D	N.D	N.D	0.10	0.10	N.D
Total Acidity	mg/L CaCO ₃	N.R	120	226	N.D	N.D	N.D	20	11	N.D
Total hardness	mg/L	N.R	192	120	N.D	N.D	N.D	184	128	59.0
Calcic hardness	mg/L	N.R	126	84	N.D	N.D	N.D	158	90	N.D
Phosphates	mg/L	N.R	8.72	4.00	N.D	N.D	N.D	6.16	6.87	N.D
** TOC	mg/L	N.R	81.5±2.40	46.90±1.60	72.98±2.32	51.47±7.01	58.97±5.87	38.2±4.41	20.3±0.742	44.1±4.94
**Total coliforms	CFU/100 mL	N.R	43304	N.D	N.D	N.D	N.D	200	100	100


*N.R: Not applicable

*N.D: Not determined

** Complementary analysis

After the formation of H₂CO₃, it can be partially dissociated as bicarbonate and protons (Equation 3). The content of bicarbonate species, carbonates, and hydroxyls is responsible for the observed decrease in the alkalinity value (by displacing the mechanism to the left in Equation 3)

[63] and a slight increase in the pH value, as it was reported in Table 2.



After treatment, a decrease in total and calcic hardness values was observed, mainly due to the consumption

of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} ions as micronutrients of the microorganisms, which act as enzymatic co-factors [64]. The content of chromium and copper slightly decreased at <10% and Zn removal was close to 52.14%. It has been reported that this behavior may be due to the intra or extracellular chelating properties in the bacteria [65]. The loading of other metals such as iron, nickel, and lead, and anions such as chlorides slightly increases after treatment, it can be due to a possible concentration of these metals in the wastewater as an effect of the evaporation during the aerobic treatment. This increase in the loading of electrically charged species is responsible for the conductivity value increasing.

In general, it was observed that biological treatment is effective for wastewater recovery. However, some pollutants that remained after treatment were still detected with high values, this is a limiting factor for wastewater recycling. In the next section, the application of AOTs is presented as a potential complementary treatment for the effluents under study.

3.3 AOTs for wastewater treatment at batch reactor lab scale

Table 2 summarizes the results obtained in the wastewater treatment by using different AOTs such as UV-Vis (photolysis), UV-Vis/ TiO_2 , and UV-Vis/ H_2O_2 . The selection of the best treatment in this step was based on the pH value, TOC, and heavy metal contents; then, the treatment that showed the highest effectiveness was evaluated by following all the parameters included in the experimental section.

The TOC content reduction after treatments reported in Table 2, evidences the mineralization of organic compounds by AOTs [66]. First, UV-Vis light can induce chemical and structural changes in the organic pollutants; however, this treatment had low effectiveness in TOC removal, which was close to 10.41%.

The global tendency of TOC removal by the different AOTs applied was $\text{UV-Vis} < \text{UV-Vis}/\text{TiO}_2 < \text{UV-Vis}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 < \text{UV-Vis}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{TiO}_2$. As can be observed, the effectiveness of the AOTs in the TOC removal increased by a combination of two or more treatments. Thus, the highest TOC removal was achieved by combining the AOTs labeled as UV-Vis/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{TiO}_2$. This effectiveness is mainly due to: (i) the presence of UV-Vis light available for photochemical processes [67, 68]; (ii) the generation of ROS, which is responsible for the degradation of organic compounds [26, 69–71]; (iii) the highest ROS generation, which is more favored by combining the presence of hydrogen peroxide and TiO_2 as a source of these species [5, 29, 30, 72, 73].

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the concentration of heavy metals after different AOTs. As can be seen in this figure, after photolysis and/or $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{UV-Vis}$ treatments, a slight decrease in the metal contents was obtained; this is probably due to the chemical reduction of these metals in the glass reactor walls [74], which was evidenced by the changes of color observed in the reactor after the treatments applied. As expected, the presence of TiO_2





Figure 2 Heavy metals content before and after industrial wastewater treatment

in the reaction system significantly contributes to the metal content decreasing. It is a direct consequence of the photochemical reduction of the metals on the titania surface, thus leading to the removal of the metals from the fluid phase [75]. In addition, it was found that by using the combining treatments UV-Vis/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{TiO}_2$, the Fe, Ni, Pb, and Zn removal was above 95%. This behavior can be explained, taking into account that these metals can be present in the wastewater as positive species. Under the reaction conditions of the present study, a slightly basic pH was measured, so, in this case, the TiO_2 surface can be negatively charged, thus favoring the adsorption and reduction of the positive metallic species on the semiconductor surface [76]. However, the pH of the starting wastewater sample is close to neutrality, so, the effectiveness of the treatment by AOTs does not depend directly on the pH value.

The pH value is one of the main factors affecting both, the formation of hydroxyl radicals and the surface charge properties on TiO_2 [77–79]. Due to the amphoteric character of TiO_2 , under acidic or basic conditions, this semiconductor can be protonated or deprotonated, as indicated in Equations 4 and 5 [79].



As can be seen in Figure [2], the combination of the AOTs evaluated is a good alternative in the removal of organic

pollutants and heavy metals such as Zn, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, and Hg from industrial wastewater, which led to achieving the maximum permissible limits for crop irrigation water.

It is also important to observe that the total coliforms bacteria content significantly decreases in the industrial effluent after UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ treatment, thus leading to achieving 99.5% of coliforms bacteria elimination at the natural pH of the starting effluent (i.e 7.40). It can be explained by oxidative stress induced by both H₂O₂ and AOTs, thus leading to coliform inactivation [29, 80]. It has been reported that in some cases the combination of UV light and H₂O₂ is more effective for bacteria inactivation than the individual UV or peroxide application [3]. Thus, for example, the efficiency of AOTs using the combination of UV and H₂O₂ in the fecal coliforms inactivation from industrial effluent was studied [3]; leading to determine that pH and H₂O₂ concentration are important factors affecting the global effectiveness of the antibacterial effect of these treatments, thus, under a pH value of 3.0 was achieved the increase of bacteria inactivation in 40 s of contact with 35 mg/L of peroxide. This is a valuable result, however, that authors modified the pH for the different assays; in the case of our work, the pH was not modified after or during the treatments applied, it is because, under real applications, the addition of external compounds for pH modification could lead to include a new pollutant in the treated effluent, which also increase the treatment stages.




Different reactor configurations have been employed for AOTs worldwide [28, 37, 81–84]. Currently, focused on the further industrial application of AOTs it is very important to compare the performance of these technologies not only at lab scale, but also to abroad research by using pilot plant devices. To follow this tendency in the present work, both lab and pilot plant reactors were evaluated and the results obtained are presented below.

3.4 UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ treatment at solar reactor pilot plant

It is important to take into account that the configuration of the reactors employed in this work at the lab or pilot plant level is different, so, a fit comparison is not possible, however; it is interesting to analyze the behavior of the UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ system by using different reaction volumes and room or environmental conditions. The results obtained in these assays are presented in Table [2].

As was observed in section 3.3, the treatment system composed by the presence of UV-Vis light, TiO₂, and H₂O₂, led to obtaining the highest effectiveness in the recovery of industrial effluents at the lab scale. This behavior is directly related to the increase of hydroxyl radicals rate

generation, which is more favored in the simultaneous presence of peroxide and titania in the reaction medium. These mechanisms for the formation of ROS are described in Equations 6, 7, and 8 [85, 86]



The effectiveness of the combined AOTs treatments is analyzed in detail for lab and pilot plant level, considering each control quality water parameter, as follows:

pH: As indicated in Table [2] after treatment a pH value close to neutrality was obtained. This value agrees with the maximum permissible limits included in regulations normative [50]. The pH increase observed after treatment is mainly due to the in-situ generation of hydroxyl ions during the photocatalytic process, which indicates that the reaction medium favored the formation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals [78]. These species were responsible for the efficient oxidation of organic pollutants and color degradation observed in the effluents [87]. pH increases after photolysis or reactions on AOTs can be also explained due to the formation of by-products coming from protein oxidation during the bacteria inactivation [46].

Hydrocarbons, fats, and oils: The removal of these compounds from the wastewater was close to 85%. This result is like that obtained by biological depuration, which is very interesting taking into account that the biological consortium employed is specialized in hydrocarbon degradation [58], however, by AOTs was also possible to obtain comparable results, in this case, the effectiveness of these technologies is mainly due to the mineralization of the hydrocarbons, fats and oils by ROS [20, 87].




COD and BOD₅: The results obtained in these parameters led to determine that the pollution of the effluent given by organic matter decreases to 33.49 and 44.06%, for COD and BOD₅, respectively.

TOC: The TOC value significantly decreased at 75.08% after treatment, thus indicating high mineralization of organic compounds [88]. This is in good agreement with the results obtained in the hydrocarbons, fats, and oils degradation, previously explained. On the other hand, it is important to note that by using the pilot plant device was possible to increase the removal ratio for COD, BOD₅, and TOC, compared with the results obtained in the lab batch reactor. It can be explained due to the improved absorption of both diffuse and direct solar radiation [88, 89], and the highest reaction time at pilot plant level tests (7 h) is a determining factor for the effectiveness of treatment.

Total suspended solids and sedimentable solids:

The solids present in the effluent considerably decreased after treatment, (i.e. 90%), thus showing the elimination and mineralization of organic particulate material such as proteins, carbohydrates, and fats [90].

Ions: The ions present in wastewater can be very active in redox reactions, these ionic species can be adsorbed on semiconductor surfaces [47], thus leading to a decrease in the content of NO_3^- , Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} y PO_4^{3-} in the fluid phase, as it can be observed in Table 2. These ions can be efficiently removed from the effluent by photocatalysis; however, these can also represent an important source of inhibition in the surficial activity of the photocatalyst [91]. Thus, the reaction of CO_3^{2-} , HCO_3^- and Cl^- with $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals, can generate fewer active radicals as described in Equations 9 to [11] [86, 91]:



Cl^- ions can also have an additional detrimental effect on photoactivity, by interaction with positive vacancies, leading to produce low reactive radicals such as $\text{Cl}\cdot$ and $\text{Cl}_2\cdot$ (Equations 12 and 13) [92]:



As previously indicated, and taking into account the COD and BOD_5 values, it appears that the lower organic matter degradation achieved by AOTs application compared with that obtained by biological treatment (Table 2), is mainly due to the negative effect of ionic species on the global effectiveness of AOTs [93].

Alkalinity: As represented in equations 9 to 11, the interaction of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals with carbonates and bicarbonate ions, and the in-situ generation of these radicals, led to a decrease in the alkalinity value of the effluent after treatment (90.83%).

Total and calcic hardness: The removal percentages for these parameters were 33.33% and 28.57%, respectively, which can be due to the adsorption of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} over the TiO_2 surface [94].

Metals: The metallic species can participate in redox reactions with the electron-hole pairs generated during the photocatalytic process. In this way, the metal content in the effluent decreases by photoreduction on the semiconductor surface. As it had been deeply reported, these redox processes take place by the action of holes (h^+) and $\cdot\text{OH}$, the electrons in the conduction band which can oxidize or reduce the metals, respectively [74].

For metals with high concentrations in the starting effluent such as lead, zinc, iron, nickel, and chromium, a decrease in the initial concentration after treatment close to 88.5, 93.4, 67.3, 63.1 and 43.1%, respectively, was observed. A hypothesis to explain these results can be that the metals with high loading in the effluent can have the major probability of being involved in redox reaction on the semiconductor surface [93], for this reason, these heavy metals were more effectively removed from the effluent, compared with those with low concentration.

Total coliforms: Figure 3 includes some pictures of the microbial culture from the effluent under study (dilution factor 100) before and after treatment. In Figure 3 (A), it is possible to identify by color, three main bacteria species such as *Citrobacter freundii* (salmon, red and purple), *Escherichia coli* (dark blue), and *Salmonella spp* (white bone) [74]. As can be seen in Table 2 and Figure 3, after treatment by combined AOTs, at the lab or pilot plant scale, was possible to achieve 99.8% bacteria removal. Total removal was achieved for *Citrobacter freundii* and *Escherichia coli* after treatment. These results demonstrate the bactericidal effect of the AOTs employed, which is mainly due to the bacteria inactivation given by the ROS; these oxidant species attack the polyunsaturated fosfolypids in the lipidic membrane of the bacteria cell, thus leading to the bacterial death [95, 96]. However, it is important to note that some CFU of *Salmonella spp* (white bone) [74] remains after treatment. Taking into account the potential danger of these bacteria for human health it is necessary to apply further treatment to ensure the total elimination of them. From this concern, it is also important to consider previously reported works, where it has been found that processes like $\text{UV}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ combination are not enough efficient to eliminate antibiotic resistance microorganisms, which is a relevant factor for the treated wastewater recycling and final disposal for irrigation of soils and/or crops [29, 31].

Finally, it was observed that the AOTs employed for the industrial wastewater recovery are effective at the lab and pilot plant; however, as expected, in the pilot plant the effectiveness of the treatments slightly decreases for some water quality parameters, such as nitrates, phosphates, and some metals (Zn, Cu, Fe, Ni, and Pb). It can be explained by taking into account that the pilot device works in environmental conditions, where the light intensity changes during the day.

It is important to take in mind that, as previously commented, the configuration of the lab reactor and pilot plant solar reactor is different, for that reason a reliable comparison was not attempted in this research; however, this work represents an interesting starting point for further studies focused in the employ of AOTs at real scale,




Figure 3 Bacteria culture for industrial wastewater before (A) and after (B) AOTs

with volumes of effluent near to 120 L.

3.5 Simultaneous treatment AOTs and biological depuration

To find a possible synergic effect, after the individual evaluation of photochemical and biological treatment, the simultaneous combination of them was attempted; thus, firstly, the effluent samples were submitted to AOTs (UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂) for 7 hours, then these samples were maintained under biological treatment for four months. The results obtained in these experiments are summarized in Table 2.

In general, it is observed from Table 2 that the successive application of AOTs and biological depuration led to achieving the best effectiveness in the elimination of some pollutants, compared with that obtained from the individual treatments, thus leading also to achieve values much lower than the reported in the regulations for the maximum permissible limits in parameters [49] such as pH, chlorides, nitrates, Pb and Zn. In the case of other parameters, the observed behavior was represented as follows:


Total hardness: This parameter also decreases after treatments, which, as previously indicated, is mainly due to the adsorption of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions on the semiconductor surface [86] and due to the micronutrient consumption by facultative microorganisms [79].

TOC: After combined treatment (biological and photocatalytic treatments), it was observed that the

effectiveness in the TOC removal is lower than that obtained after the application of UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ only. This behavior is due to the solid organic waste inside the reactor after biological depuration.

Total coliforms: As expected, the CFU counting after combining treatments was like that obtained after the photochemical process, which is due to the biological nature of the bacteria consortium employed in the biological depuration [59]. It is important to say that an ideal recovering treatment for wastewater, should lead to total bacteria elimination, however, in agreement with Colombian regulations a certain content of bacteria is permissible for irrigation crops water [50].

Residual chlorine: It was observed that this pollutant remains after all the treatments applied in the effluent with values >3,0 mg Cl₂/L. This substance represented by sodium hypochlorite and/or calcium hypochlorite, is a contaminant usually present in wastewater, which comes from primary treatments for the elimination of bacteria or as a bleacher additive [92]. The chloride species are solved in water, thus leading to some dissociations as indicated as follows in Equations 14 to 16 [97]:



pH value is a determinant factor for the presence of HClO or ClO⁻, thus, at pH 7.5, both of these species coexist in similar content [97]. At lower pH prevails HClO, and at higher pH is preferentially present ClO⁻. The pH value in the effluent under study was close to 7.40, at this value

is possible that chloride species were less reactive, thus leading to a low removal after treatments [92].

Heavy metals: As expected, after combining treatments the results obtained indicated that the metal content remains almost similar to that achieved by using only UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂ processes. This is because the microbial consortium employed in the biological treatment is not able to process all the metals present in the effluent. However, a similar behavior was observed for the metals at the highest concentration (Pb and Zn).

From the results obtained, it is possible to indicate that biological depuration could work as a complementary treatment to AOTs in the recovery of industrial wastewater. However, from the outcomes observed, two hypotheses can be stated:

It is important to take into account that, as previously commented: (i) during the biological depuration, H₂O₂ could still be present in the sample, which remains from previously applied AOTs, and (ii) biological depuration treatment takes place under environmental conditions and solar light. Therefore, AOTs can continue in the fluid phase. These factors may contribute to obtaining the best performance observed by simultaneous treatments.

However, it is well known that both H₂O₂ and AOTs can induce oxidative stress and lead to microbial inactivation [3, 26, 29, 74, 80, 97–99], thus affecting the commercial bacteria consortium employed in the biological depuration. It is possible that the remaining peroxide and the AOTs can conduce to the death of good bacteria, and the best performance observed could be due only to the long exposure of the wastewater at AOTs.

To probe one of these facts, it was interesting to test the simultaneous process starting with the bacteria depuration and then, completing the treatment of the effluents by the combined AOTs (UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂), so, further treatments and analyses were carried out in equivalent periods; the results obtained in these assays are presented in Table 3.

As can be seen, in Table 3, the global effectiveness in the treatment of industrial effluents is very similar, no matter what treatment (i.e. biological depuration or AOTs) was carried out first. Thus, similar results were obtained in the concentration of Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, Zn, and nitrates.

However, an increase in the value of parameters such as conductivity, chlorides, and TOC was evidenced. The increase in conductivity may be due to a possible concentration of anions such as chlorides in the wastewater as an effect of water evaporation during

aerobic treatment. Besides, the increase in TOC value may be due to the incomplete mineralization of the organic matter present in the wastewater sample [100], thus, applying the biological treatment as a first step, could contribute to the formation of new organic matter by microbial metabolism, confirmed by a high value of dissolved oxygen in the sample [?].

Finally, it was evidenced that by application of AOTs after biological depuration was possible to significantly increase the bacteria elimination, thus achieving almost the total removal of coliform bacteria in the industrial wastewater (2 UFC/100 mL).

4. Conclusions

Effluents coming from an industrial estate with intense and diverse industrial activities were analyzed in the present study. It was found that a potential combination of biological treatment, by using a commercial microorganisms consortium, and advanced oxidation processes (UV-Vis/H₂O₂/TiO₂) can be an excellent alternative for environmental remediation, by treating wastewater recycling as an irrigation source. The simultaneous application of these treatments led to obtaining a good recovery of the industrial effluents and it also demonstrated the effectiveness of the AOTs under realistic conditions at the pilot plant level.

By a sequential combination of biological and AOTs treatments was possible to achieve the values requested in the Colombian regulations for recycling treated wastewater in agricultural activities. For a better comprehension of this behavior, a comparison between the legal requested values, the starting sample effluents, and the values obtained after treatment, respectively, are presented as follows for selected quality control water parameters: pH [6.00–9.00, 7.40, and 8.14], Conductivity [1500, 1940 and 1164.5 µS/cm], Chlorides [300, 212.40 and 33.5 mg/L], Zn [3.00, 12.20 and 1.02 mg/L], Cu [1.00, 0.15 and 0.21 mg/L], Cr [0.10, 0.58 and 0.35 mg/L], Fe [5.00, 4.74 and 1.30], Ni [0.20, 1.52, and 0.80 mg/L], Pb [5.00, 5.84 and 0.410 mg/L], Nitrates [5.00, 13.20 and 0.900 mg/L].

To understand the positive impact of the treatments applied, other parameters not requested in Colombian regulations are also worth considering. Thus, the values of the starting effluents and the treated effluents, respectively, are summarized as follows: Total hardness [192 and 59.0 mg/L] and TOC [81.46 and 44.1]. By these comparisons, it is possible to observe the relevant contribution of the present work by testing the combination of conventional and modern treatments for industrial wastewater recovery and recycling.

Table 3 Comparison of the results obtained by the application of biological and AOTs treatment in different chronological order

Water Quality Control Parameters	Units	Starting	UV-Vis/ H ₂ O ₂ /TiO ₂ and Biological deputation	Biological deputation and UV-Vis/ H ₂ O ₂ /TiO ₂
pH	Units of pH	7,40±0,141	8.14±0	8.03±0.067
Conductivity	μS/cm	1940±0,102	1164.5±24.7	3648±53.0
Chlorides		212.4±0.707	33.5±0.707	256.5±0
Zinc		12.2±0.045	1.02±0,164	1.12±0,076
Cooper		0.15±0.020	0.210±0.093	0.10±0.018
Chromium		0.58±0.050	0.350±0.093	0.353±0.041
Iron	mg/L	4.74±0.350	1.30±0.046	1.76±0.082
Nickel		1.52±0.273	0.800±0.110	0.69±0.067
Lead		5.84±0.172	0.410±0.091	0.58±0.034
Nitrates		13.20±0.780	0.900±0.071	0.650±0.103
TOC		81.5±2.40	44.1±4.94	136.0±5.89
Total coliforms	CFU/100 mL	43304	100	2.00

Despite the good results observed in the present work, one disadvantage of biological deputation is the generation of more suspended solids and microorganisms loading after treatment. Based on the results obtained, for further work, it is recommended to apply firstly biological deputation and then AOTs, it is because the last treatment led to a significant decrease in the bacteria loading. Thus, demonstrating the synergistic effect of the treatments applied.

This study led to advances in the search for efficient strategies for the treatment and recovery of industrial wastewater, mainly focused on mitigating the environmental impact them and at the same time employing clean and renewable energies like solar light. Finally, in economic terms, to treat 1 m³ of wastewater, 7.2 L of H₂O₂ and 1 kg of TiO₂ are required, resulting in a cost of 2016 dollars and 325 dollars for H₂O₂ and TiO₂, respectively. Regarding energy cost, there is no expense once the pilot plant is installed and operational, as it operates only on solar energy.

Declaration of competing interest

We declare that we have no significant competing interests including financial or non-financial, professional, or personal interests interfering with the full and objective presentation of the work described in this manuscript.

Acknowledgment

Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación and Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia are acknowledged for funding this research

Funding

This work was funded by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación – Minciencias and Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social, Project 110991891727, and Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia (UPTC) Project SGI 3393.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, investigation, writing—original draft preparation: J. J. Murcia-Mesa; methodology, investigation: M. A. Gil-Agudelo; methodology, investigation, writing—original draft preparation: J. S. Hernández-Niño; conceptualization, investigation, writing—original draft preparation: C. P. Castañeda-Mesa

Data available statement

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article [and/or] its supplementary materials.

References

- [1] I. Michalak and K. Chojnacka, "Effluent biomonitoring," in *Encyclopedia of Toxicology (Third Edition)*, P. Wexler, Ed. Academic Press, Jan. 2014.
- [2] A. D. Patwardhan, *Industrial Wastewater Treatment*. Prentice Hall India Pvt. Limited, Jan. 2017. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com.co/books?id=psf56CPmZsYC&printsec=copyright&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false
- [3] M. Ashrafivala, S. B. Mousavi, S. Z. Heris, M. Heidari, M. Mohammadpourfard, and H. Aslani, "Investigation of h_2O_2/uv advanced oxidation process on the removal rate of coliforms from the industrial effluent: A pilot-scale study," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 47, no. 78.
- [4] D. A. da Silva, R. P. Cavalcante, E. B. Barbosa, A. M. Junior, S. C. de Oliveira, and R. F. Dantas, "Combined aop/gac/aop

- systems for secondary effluent polishing: Optimization, toxicity and disinfection," *Separation and Purification Technology*, vol. 263, May. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2021.118415>
- [5] A. Di-Cesare, M. D. Carluccio, E. M. Eckert, D. Fontaneto, A. Fiorentino, G. Corno, and *et al.*, "Combination of flow cytometry and molecular analysis to monitor the effect of uv/h_2O_2 vs $uv/h_2O_2/cu - ids$ processes on pathogens and antibiotic-resistant genes in secondary wastewater effluents," *Water Research*, vol. 184, Oct. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116194>
 - [6] S. Naghash-Hamed, N. Arsalani, and S. B. Mousavi, "The catalytic reduction of nitroanilines using synthesized $cufe_2O_4$ nanoparticles in an aqueous medium," *ChemistryOpen*, vol. 11.
 - [7] S. Naghash-Hamed and N. Arsalani and S. B. Mousavi, "Facile copper ferrite/carbon quantum dot magnetic nanocomposite as an effective nanocatalyst for reduction of para-nitroaniline and ortho-nitroaniline," *Nanotechnology Futures*, vol. 6.
 - [8] L. Kumar, R. Bidlan, J. Sharma, and N. Bharadva, "Biotechnological management of water quality: A mini review," *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Communications*, vol. 12, no. 1.
 - [9] V. K. Gupta and I. Ali, "Wastewater treatment by biological methods," in *Environmental Water*. Elsevier, Dec. 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59399-3.00007-6>
 - [10] A. Patel, I. Delgado-Vellosillo, U. Rova, L. Matsakas, and P. Christakopoulos, "A novel bioprocess engineering approach to recycle hydrophilic and hydrophobic waste under high salinity conditions for the production of nutraceutical compounds," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 431, no. 1.
 - [11] K. B. Chipasa and K. Mędrzycka, "Behavior of lipids in biological wastewater treatment processes," *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 33, no. 8.
 - [12] S. Ishak, A. Malakahmad, and M. H. Isa, "Refinery wastewater biological treatment: A short review," *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, vol. 71, Apr. 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://tinyurl.com/5eydehnr>
 - [13] T. E. Doll and F. H. Frimmel, "Removal of selected persistent organic pollutants by heterogeneous photocatalysis in water," *Catalysis Today*, vol. 101, no. 3-4.
 - [14] W. A. Freitas, B. E. Soares, M. S. Rodrigues, P. Trigueiro, L. M. C. Honorio, R. Peña-García, and *et al.*, "Facile synthesis of zno-clay minerals composites using an ultrasonic approach for photocatalytic performance," *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, vol. 429, Aug. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2022.113934>
 - [15] B. Zsirka, V. Gálvölgyi, E. Horváth, T. Juzsakova, O. Fónagy, E. Szabó-Bárdos, and K. Kristóf, "Halloysite-zinc oxide nanocomposites as potential photocatalysts," *Minerals*, vol. 12, no. 4.
 - [16] P. Bhatt, A. Verma, S. Gangola, G. Bhandari, and S. Chen, "Microbial glycoconjugates in organic pollutant bioremediation: recent advances and applications," *Microbial Cell Factories*, vol. 20, no. 72.
 - [17] A. Alvarez, J. M. Saez, J. S. D. Costa, V. L. Colin, M. S. Fuentes, S. A. Cuzzo, and *et al.*, "Actinobacteria: Current research and perspectives for bioremediation of pesticides and heavy metals," *Chemosphere*, vol. 166, Jan. 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.070>
 - [18] D. Bhatia, N. Sharma, J. Singh, and R. Kanwar, "Biological methods for textile dye removal from wastewater: A review," *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 47, no. 19.
 - [19] S. Mishra, Z. Lin, S. Pang, W. Zhang, P. Bhatt, and S. Chen, "Recent advanced technologies for the characterization of xenobiotic-degrading microorganisms and microbial communities," *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, vol. 9, Jul. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.632059>
 - [20] M. Megharaj and R. Naidu, "Soil and brownfield bioremediation," *Microbial Biotechnology*, vol. 10, no. 5.
 - [21] P. Davies, *The Biological Basis of Wastewater Treatment*. IWA Publishing, Jan. 2005.
 - [22] F. Sadeghfar, M. Ghaedi, and Z. Zalipour, "Chapter 4 - advanced oxidation," *Interface Science and Technology*, vol. 32, Mar. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818806-4.00001-2>
 - [23] M. B. Ray, J. P. Chen, L. K. Wang, and S. O. Pehkonen, "Advanced oxidation processes," 2006, vol. 4. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-029-4_14
 - [24] R. Mittler, "Ros are good," *Trends in Plant Science*, vol. 22, no. 1.
 - [25] H. Li, X. Zhou, Y. Huang, B. Liao, L. Cheng, and B. Ren, "Reactive oxygen species in pathogen clearance: The killing mechanisms, the adaption response, and the side effects," *National Library of Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 4.
 - [26] J. Murcia, J. Hernández, H. Rojas, J. Moreno-Cascante, P. Sánchez-Cid, M. Hidalgo, and *et al.*, "Evaluation of au_2O_3 , zno/ag_2CO_3 and ag_2O_2 as photocatalyst for wastewater treatment," *Topics in Catalysis*, vol. 63, Feb. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-020-01232-z>
 - [27] I. D. Pasquale, C. L. Porto, M. Dell'Edera, F. Petronella, A. Agostiano, M. L. Curri, and R. Comparelli, "Photocatalytic tio_2 -based nanostructured materials for microbial inactivation," *Catalysts*, vol. 10, Nov. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10121382>
 - [28] H. A. Foster, I. B. Ditta, S. Varghese, and A. Steele, "Photocatalytic disinfection using titanium dioxide: spectrum and mechanism of antimicrobial activity," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 90, no. 6.
 - [29] G. Ferro, F. Guarino, S. Castiglione, and L. Rizzo, "Antibiotic resistance spread potential in urban wastewater effluents disinfected by uv/h_2O_2 process," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 560-561, Sep. 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.047>
 - [30] N. F. F. Moreira, C. N. da Rocha, M. I. Polo-López, L. M. Pastrana-Martínez, J. L. Faria, C. M. Manaia, and *et al.*, "Solar treatment (h_2O_2 , $tio_2 - p_25$ and $go - tio_2$ photocatalysis, photo-fenton) of organic micropollutants, human pathogen indicators, antibiotic resistant bacteria and related genes in urban wastewater," *Water Research*, vol. 135, May. 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.01.064>
 - [31] I. Sánchez-Montes, I. Salmerón, J. M. Aquino, M. I. Polo-López, S. Malato, and I. Oller, "Solar-driven free chlorine advanced oxidation process for simultaneous removal of microcontaminants and microorganisms in natural water at pilot-scale," *Chemosphere*, vol. 288, Feb. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132493>
 - [32] M. B. Tahir, H. Kiran, and T. Iqbal, "The detoxification of heavy metals from aqueous environment using nano-photocatalysis approach: a review," *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, vol. 26, Mar. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04547-x>
 - [33] K. Siwińska-Stefańska, A. Kubiak, A. Piasecki, A. Dobrowolska, K. Czaczyk, and M. M. and *et al.*, "Hydrothermal synthesis of multifunctional $tio_2 - zno$ oxide systems with desired antibacterial and photocatalytic properties," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 463, Jan. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.08.256>
 - [34] T. Li, Y. Xiao, D. Guo, L. Shen, R. Li, Y. Jiao, and *et al.*, "In-situ coating tio_2 surface by plant-inspired tannic acid for fabrication of thin film nanocomposite nanofiltration membranes toward enhanced separation and antibacterial performance," *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 572, Jul. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2020.03.087>
 - [35] R. Matsuura, C. W. Lo, S. Wada, J. Somei, H. Ochiai, T. Murakami, and *et al.*, "Sars-cov-2 disinfection of air and surface contamination by tio_2 photocatalyst-mediated damage to viral morphology, rna, and protein," *Viruses*, vol. 13, no. 5.
 - [36] J. Prakash, J. Cho, and Y. K. Mishra, "Photocatalytic tio_2 nanomaterials as potential antimicrobial and antiviral agents: Scope against blocking the sars-cov-2 spread," *Micro and Nano Engineering*, vol. 14, Apr. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/>

- 10.1016/j.mne.2021.100100
- [37] O. Salishcheva, A. Burlachenko, Y. Tarasova, N. Moldagulova, and V. Yustratov, "Biodegradation of organic compounds in wastewater," BIO Web of Conferences, Kemerovo, Russian Federation, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20236401003>
- [38] J. Yarce-Castaño, G. Serrano-Arguello, F. M. Chavarría-Chavarría, F. Granda-Ramírez, and G. Hincapié-Mejía, "Study of the effect of radiation intensity and h_2O_2 concentration in the treatment of effluent from the textile industry with uv/h_2O_2 ," *Ingeniería y Competitividad*, vol. 24, no. 1, Oct. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.25100/iy.24i1.11308>
- [39] J. S. Hernández-Niño, J. J. Murcia-Mesa, H. A. Rojas-Sarmiento, M. del C. Hidalgo, and J. A. Navío-Santos, " $zno/tio_2zno/nb_2O_5$ como sistemas eficientes en el tratamiento de bacterias entéricas y colorantes comerciales," *Revista Facultad de Ingeniería Universidad de Antioquia*, no. 108, Jul. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.redin.20220785>
- [40] D. B. Moreno, N. Y. C. Cáceres, C. M. V. Lázaro, F. M. Martínez, and J. W. S. Verjél, "Fotocatálisis heterogénea y un proceso biológico anaerobio para el tratamiento de lixiviados," *Ciencia en Desarrollo*, vol. 13, no. 2, Jul. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://repositorio.uptc.edu.co/handle/001/15337>
- [41] A. D. Ortiz-Marin, C. A. Díaz-Angulo, J. A. Martínez-Moreno, J. W. Soto-Verjél, and F. Machuca-Martínez, "Using sequentially coupled uv/h_2O_2 -biologic systems to treat industrial wastewater with high carbon and nitrogen contents," *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, vol. 137, May. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.02.020>
- [42] D. Wang, M. A. Mueses, J. A. C. Márquez, F. Machuca-Martínez, I. Grčić, R. Peralta-Muniz-Moreira, and G. L. Puma, "Engineering and modeling perspectives on photocatalytic processes for wastewater treatment," *Water Research*, vol. 202, Feb. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117421>
- [43] S. Ofori, A. Puškáčová, I. Růžicková, and J. Wanner, "Treated wastewater reuse for irrigation: Pros and cons," *Science of The Total Environment*, vol. 760, Mar. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144026>
- [44] J. J. Murcia, E. G. Ávila Martínez, H. Rojas, J. A. Navío, and M. C. Hidalgo, "Study of the e. coli elimination from urban wastewater over photocatalysts based on metallized tio_2 ," *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 200, Jan. 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.07.045>
- [45] J. J. Murcia, M. C. Hidalgo, J. A. Navío, J. Araña, and J. M. Doña-Rodríguez, "Study of the phenol photocatalytic degradation over tio_2 modified by sulfation, fluorination, and platinum nanoparticles photodeposition," *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 179, Dec. 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.05.040>
- [46] J. J. Murcia-Mesa, W. González-Cely, H. A. Rojas-Sarmiento, and J. A. Cubillos-Lobo, "Planta para el tratamiento de aguas residuales con función dual floculación/fotocatálisis impulsada por energía solar y un reactor de tubos soportado sobre una lámina inclinada," Colombia Patent NC2018/0013099, Sep. 11, 2020.
- [47] (2014, Jul.) Resolución 1207 – disposiciones relacionadas con el uso de aguas residuales tratadas. Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible. [Online]. Available: <https://www.alcaldiabogota.gov.co/sisjur/normas/Norma1.jsp?i=59135>
- [48] (2015, Mar.) Resolución 631 – parámetros y valores límites permisibles en los vertimientos puntuales a cuerpos de aguas superficiales y a los sistemas de alcantarillado público. Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible. [Online]. Available: chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.minambiente.gov.co/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/resolucion-631-de-2015.pdf
- [49] S. M. Russell, "Rapid detection and enumeration of pathogens on poultry meat," in *Food Safety Control in the Poultry Industry*, G. C. Mead, Ed. Woodhead Publishing, 2005.
- [50] M. W. C. C. Greenshields, B. B. Cunha, N. J. Coville, I. C. Pimentel, M. A. C. Zawadneak, S. Dobrovolski, M. T. Souza, and I. A. Hummelgen, "Fungi active microbial metabolism detection of rhizopus sp. and aspergillus sp. section nigri on strawberry using a set of chemical sensors based on carbon nanostructures," *Chemosensors*, vol. 4, no. 3, Dec. 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/chemosensors4030019>
- [51] E. R. Weiner, *Applications of Environmental Aquatic Chemistry: A Practical Guide*, 2nd ed. CRC Press, 2008.
- [52] W. Boyles, *Chemical oxygen demand. Technical information series*. Hach Company, 1997.
- [53] N. encontrada M. R. Penn, J. J. Pauer, and J. R. Mihelcic, "Biochemical oxygen demand," *Environmental and Ecological Chemistry*, vol. 2, Nov. 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://tinyurl.com/yc8h7hnh>
- [54] J. M. M.R. Penn, J.J. Pauer, "Biochemical oxygen demand," in *Environmental and Ecological Chemistry*, 2009, vol. 2. [Online]. Available: chrome-extension://efaidnbmninnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.eolss.net/ebooklib/ebookcontents/e6-13-themecontents.pdf
- [55] U. Varanasi, *Metabolism of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Aquatic Environment*. Environmental Ecological Toxicology, Aug. 1989, vol. 1. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003574897>
- [56] H. Al-Tameemi, M. Jabbar, and A. Bader, "Bod: Cod ratio as indicator for wastewater and industrial water pollution," *International Journal of Special Education*, vol. 37, no. 3.
- [57] BiomerK. (2023) Soluciones para el futuro sostenible. [Online]. Available: <https://www.biomerK.co/>
- [58] G. Kalayu, "Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms: Promising approach as biofertilizers," *International Journal of Agronomy*, Jan. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4917256>
- [59] J. M. Tiedje, "Denitrification," in *Methods of Soil Analysis*, 1982. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronmonogr9.2.2ed.c47>
- [60] G. Muyzer and A. J. M. Stams, "The ecology and biotechnology of sulphate-reducing bacteria," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 6, May. 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1892>
- [61] M. Suzuki and N. J. Chatterton, *Science and Technology of Fructans*, Chapter 5. Taylor and Francis, 1993.
- [62] B. Fath and S. E. Jorgensen, *Encyclopedia of Ecology*. Elsevier Science, 2014.
- [63] B. Jefferson, J. E. Burgess, A. Pichon, J. Harkness, and S. J. Judd, "Nutrient addition to enhance biological treatment of greywater," *Water Research*, vol. 35, no. 11.
- [64] J. R. Paterson, M. S. Beecroft, R. S. Mulla, D. Osman, N. L. Reeder, J. A. Caserta, and *et al.*, "Insights into the antibacterial mechanism of action of chelating agents by selective deprivation of iron, manganese, and zinc," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 88, no. 2, Dec. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01641-21>
- [65] D. Alrousan, A. Afkhami, K. Bani-Melhem, and P. Dunlop, "Organic degradation potential of real greywater using."
- [66] J. Gamage-McEvoy and Z. Zhang, "Antimicrobial and photocatalytic disinfection mechanisms in silver-modified photocatalysts under dark and light conditions," *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology C: Photochemistry Reviews*, vol. 19, Mar. 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochemrev.2014.01.001>
- [67] J. J. Murcia, M. Hernández-Laverde, H. Rojas, E. Muñoz, J. A. Navío, and M. C. Hidalgo, "Study of the effectiveness of the flocculation-photocatalysis in the treatment of wastewater coming from dairy industries," *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, vol. 358, May. 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2018.03.034>
- [68] J. Murcia, A. Cely, H. Rojas, M. C. Hidalgo, and J. Navío, "Fluorinated and platinized titanias as effective materials in the photocatalytic treatment of dyestuffs and stained wastewater coming from handicrafts factories," *Catalysts*, vol. 9, no. 2, Apr. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal9020179>
- [69] J. J. M. Mesa, J. A. G. Arias, H. A. R. Sarmiento, and O. E. C. González, "Photocatalytic degradation of phenol, catechol and

- hydroquinone over au-zno nanomaterials," *Revista Facultad de Ingeniería de la universidad de Antioquia*, vol. 94, Jul-Ser. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.redin.20190513>
- [70] C. Castañeda, K. Gutiérrez, I. Alvarado, J. J. Martínez, H. Rojas, F. Tzompantzi, and R. Gómez, "Effective phosphated ceO_2 materials in the photocatalytic degradation of phenol under uv irradiation," *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, vol. 95, no. 12, Nov. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6499>
- [71] C. B. C. Raj and H. L. Quen, "Advanced oxidation processes for wastewater treatment: Optimization of uv/h_2O_2 process through a statistical technique," *Chemical Engineering Science*, vol. 60, no. 19, Nov. 2005. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2005.03.065>
- [72] F. L. Rosario-Ortiz, E. C. Wert, and S. A. Snyder, "Evaluation of uv/h_2O_2 treatment for the oxidation of pharmaceuticals in wastewater," *Water Research*, vol. 44, no. 5, Mar. 2010. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.10.031>
- [73] J. J. Murcia, J. S. Hernández-Niño, H. Rojas, M. H. Brijaldo, A. N. Martín-Gómez, P. Sánchez-Cid, and *et al.*, " zno/ag_3po_4 and zno -malachite as effective photocatalysts for the removal of enteropathogenic bacteria, dyestuffs, and heavy metals from municipal and industrial wastewater," *Water*, vol. 13, no. 16, Jan. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13162264>
- [74] X. Gao and X. Meng, "Photocatalysis for heavy metal treatment: A review," *Processes*, vol. 9, no. 10, Mar. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9101729>
- [75] R. S. Thakur, R. Chaudhary, and C. Singh, "Influence of ph on photocatalytic reduction, adsorption, and deposition of metal ions: Speciation modeling," *Desalination and Water Treatment*, vol. 56, no. 5, Jul. 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.944222>
- [76] T. Hirakawa, K. Yawata, and Y. Nosaka, "Photocatalytic reactivity for o_2^- and oh^\bullet radical formation in anatase and rutile tio_2 suspension as the effect of h_2O_2 addition," *Applied Catalysis A: General*, vol. 325, no. 1, Aug. 2007. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2007.03.015>
- [77] Y. Nosaka and A. Nosaka, "Understanding hydroxyl radical ($^\bullet oh$) generation processes in photocatalysis," *ACS Energy Letters*, vol. 1, no. 2, Jul. 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.6b00174>
- [78] M. Umar and H. A. Aziz, "Photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants in water: A review," *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, vol. 2, Apr. 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5772/53699>
- [79] E. Ortega-Gómez, B. Esteban-García, M. M. Ballesteros-Martín, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, and J. A. Sánchez-Pérez, "Inactivation of natural enteric bacteria in real municipal wastewater by solar photo-fenton at neutral ph," *Water Research*, vol. 63, Mar. 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.05.034>
- [80] D. Wang, Y. Li, W. Zhang, Q. Wang, P. Wang, and C. Wang, "Development and modeling of a flat plate serpentine reactor for photocatalytic degradation of 17-ethinylestradiol," *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, vol. 20, Mar. 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-1131-2>
- [81] J. A. Lara-Ramos, G. D. Llanos-Díaz, J. Diaz-Angulo, and F. Machuca-Martínez, "Evaluation of caffeine degradation by sequential coupling of $tio_2/o_3/h_2O_2/uv$ processes," *Topics in Catalysis*, vol. 63, Jul. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-020-01316-w>
- [82] G. L. Puma, "Modeling of thin-film slurry photocatalytic reactors affected by radiation scattering," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 37, no. 24, Nov. 2003. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0300362>
- [83] M. A. Mueses, J. Colina-Márquez, F. Machuca-Martínez, and G. L. Puma, "Recent advances on modeling of solar heterogeneous photocatalytic reactors applied for degradation of pharmaceuticals and emerging organic contaminants in water," *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, vol. 30, Aug. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2021.100486>
- [84] N. P. Cheremisinoff, *Environmental Technologies Handbook*. Government Institutes, Jan. 2005.
- [85] R. Ahmad, Z. Ahmad, A. U. Khan, N. R. Mastoi, M. Aslam, and J. Kim, "Photocatalytic systems as an advanced environmental remediation: Recent developments, limitations and new avenues for applications," *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, vol. 4, no. 4, Dec. 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.09.009>
- [86] S. Vilhunen, M. Vilve, M. Vepsäläinen, and M. Sillanpää, "Removal of organic matter from a variety of water matrices by uv photolysis and uv/h_2O_2 method," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 179, no. 1-3, May. 2010. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.03.070>
- [87] S. Malato, J. Blanco, A. Vidal, and C. Richter, "Photocatalysis with solar energy at a pilot-plant scale: An overview," *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 37, no. 1, Apr. 2002. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-3373\(01\)00315-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-3373(01)00315-0)
- [88] S. Malato, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, M. I. Maldonado, J. Blanco, and W. Gernjak, "Decontamination and disinfection of water by solar photocatalysis: Recent overview and trends," *Catalysis Today*, vol. 147, no. 1, Jul. 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2009.06.018>
- [89] G. Carré, E. Hamon, S. Ennahar, M. Estner, M. C. Lett, P. Horvatovich, and *et al.*, " tio_2 photocatalysis damages lipids and proteins in escherichia coli," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 80, no. 8, Apr. 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03995-13>
- [90] X. Gao, Q. Guo, G. Tang, W. Peng, Y. Luo, and D. He, "Effects of inorganic ions on the photocatalytic degradation of carbamazepine," *Journal of Water Reuse and Desalination*, vol. 9, no. 3, Sep. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2166/wrd.2019.001>
- [91] M. Delarmelina, M. W. Dlamini, S. Pattison, P. R. Davies, G. J. Hutchings, and C. R. A. Catlow, "The effect of dissolved chlorides on the photocatalytic degradation properties of titania in wastewater treatment," *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, vol. 25, Feb. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CP03140J>
- [92] W. Endang-Tri and A. Nurul-Hidayat, "Photoreduction processes over tio_2 photocatalyst," in *Photocatalysts*, K. S. Bahadar and A. Kalsoom, Eds. IntechOpen, Nov. 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.80914>
- [93] X. Zhao and K. Drlica, "Reactive oxygen species and the bacterial response to lethal stress," *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, vol. 21, Oct. 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2014.06.008>
- [94] L. Lin, W. Jiang, L. Chen, P. Xu, and H. Wang, "Treatment of produced water with photocatalysis: Recent advances, affecting factors and future research prospects," *Catalysts*, vol. 10, no. 8, Jan. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10080924>
- [95] Y. Hong, J. Zeng, X. Wang, K. Drlica, and X. Zhao, "Post-stress bacterial cell death mediated by reactive oxygen species," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 116, no. 20, May. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1901730116>
- [96] K. T. Prep, *MCAT General Chemistry Review 2023-2024*. Kaplan Publishing, Jul. 2022.
- [97] A. K. Benabbou, Z. Derriche, C. Felix, P. Lejeune, and C. Guillard, "Photocatalytic inactivation of escherichia coli: Effect of concentration of tio_2 and microorganism, nature, and intensity of uv irradiation," *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 76, no. 3-4, Sep. 2007. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2007.05.026>
- [98] G. Xiao, X. Zhang, W. Zhang, S. Zhang, H. Su, and T. Tan, "Visible-light-mediated synergistic photocatalytic antimicrobial effects and mechanism of ag-nanoparticles@chitosan- tio_2 organic-inorganic composites for water disinfection," *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 170-171, Jul. 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.01.042>
- [99] D. C. A. Gowland, N. Robertson, and E. Chatzisyseon, "Photocatalytic oxidation of natural organic matter in water," *Water*, vol. 13, no. 3. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/>

- w13030288
- [100] G. Huang, T. W. Ng, H. Chen, A. T. Chow, S. Liu, and P. K. Wong, "Formation of assimilable organic carbon (aoc) during drinking water disinfection: A microbiological prospect of disinfection byproducts," *Environment International*, vol. 135, Feb. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105389>
- [101] G. L. Puma and P. L. Yue, "A laminar falling film slurry photocatalytic reactor. part ii—experimental validation of the model," *Chemical Engineering Science*, vol. 53, no. 24, Aug. 1998. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509\(98\)00119-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509(98)00119-5)
- [102] A. Fiorentino, B. Esteban, J. A. Garrido-Cardenas, K. Kowalska, L. Rizzo, A. Aguera, and *et al.*, "Effect of solar photo-fenton process in raceway pond reactors at neutral ph on antibiotic resistance determinants in secondary treated urban wastewater," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 378, Oct. 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.120979>