

1 **Influence of different protein supplements on the recovery and *in***
2 ***vitro* maturation of bovine oocytes**

3
4 **To appear in:**

5 Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu (33,3)

6
7 **This unedited manuscript has been accepted by RCCP for future**
8 **publication and is provisionally published on our website. The**
9 **manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and galley**
10 **review before final publication. Please note that this advance**
11 **version may differ from the "Ahead of print" and also from the**
12 **final version.**






13
14

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

15 **Influence of different protein supplements on the recovery and *in vitro***
16 **maturation of bovine oocytes**

17
18 *Influencia de diferentes suplementos proteicos en la recuperación y maduración in vitro de*
19 *ovocitos bovinos*

20
21 *Influência de diferentes suplementações proteicas sobre a recuperação e maturação in vitro*
22 *de oócitos bovinos*

23
24 Pedro H Fernandes-França ; Maria V Oliveira-Santos ; Gabriela P Oliveira-Lira ; Alana
25 Azevedo-Borges ; Alexsandra Fernandes-Pereira* 

26
27 *Laboratório de Biotecnologia Animal, Departamento de Biociências, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde,*
28 *Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-Árido, Mossoró, Rio Grande do Norte, Brasil.*

29
30
31
32 ***To cite this article:***

33 Fernandes-França PH, Oliveira-Santos MV, Oliveira-Lira GP, Azevedo-Borges A, Fernandes-Pereira
34 A. Influence of different protein supplements on the recovery and *in vitro* maturation of bovine
35 oocytes. Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 20xx; 3x(#):xxx-xxx.
36 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.rccp.v33n3a01>

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47  This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

*Corresponding author: Av. Francisco Mota, 572, Costa e Silva, 59625-900, Mossoró, Brasil. Tel.: +55 85
999036715. E-mail: alexsandra.pereira@ufersa.edu.br.

48 **Abstract**

49 **Background:** The oocyte quality and maturation are influence by protein supplementation.

50 **Objective:** To evaluate the influence of fetal bovine serum (FBS) and bovine serum albumin
51 (BSA) concentrations on the recovery and *in vitro* maturation (IVM) of bovine oocytes.

52 **Methods:** The study was divided into step 1 (oocyte recovery), and step 2 (IVM). In the first
53 step, three experiments were performed according to the recovery medium: (R1) 10 vs. 20%
54 FBS; (R2) 5 vs. 10% BSA; and (R3) better results of R1, R2, and the combination of
55 FBS+BSA (5+5%). Within the second step, the maturation medium was supplemented
56 according to three experiments: (M1) 5 vs. 10% FBS; (M2) 0.4 vs. 0.8% BSA; and (M3)
57 better results of M1, M2, and the combination of FBS+BSA (5+0.8%). **Results:** In step 1 (R1
58 and R2), the media with 10% FBS and 10% BSA showed better oocyte quality results and
59 were defined for experiment R3. In R3, the 10% FBS and the combination of FBS+BSA
60 (5+5%) allowed recovery of better-quality oocytes. In step 2 (M1 and M2), media with both
61 levels of FBS (5 and 10%) and 0.8% BSA were defined as better according to the maturation
62 and viability rates of *cumulus* cells, so they were defined for experiment M3. In M3, no
63 difference was noted among the supplements. **Conclusions:** For the oocyte recovery, 10%
64 FBS and the combination of FBS+BSA (5+5%) can be used to obtain immature oocytes. For
65 the *in vitro* maturation, FBS (both levels, 5 and 10%) and BSA (0.8%) can be used alone or in
66 combination.

67 **Keywords:** *bovine serum albumin; brilliant cresyl blue; fetal bovine serum; in vitro embryo*
68 *production; meiotic competence; oocyte maturation; oocyte quality; oocyte recovery.*

69
70 **Resumen**

71 **Antecedentes:** La calidad y maduración de los ovocitos son influenciados por la
72 suplementación proteica. **Objetivo:** Evaluar la influencia de concentraciones de suero fetal
73 bovino (FBS) y albúmina sérica bovina (BSA) en la recuperación y maduración *in vitro*
74 (IVM) de ovocitos bovinos. **Métodos:** El estudio se dividió en el paso 1 (recuperación de
75 ovocitos) y el paso 2 (IVM). En la primera etapa, tres experimentos se realizaron de acuerdo
76 con el medio de recuperación: (R1) 10 vs. 20% FBS; (R2) 5 vs. 10% BSA; y (R3) mejores
77 resultados de R1, R2 y la combinación de FBS+BSA (5+5%). En la segunda etapa, el medio
78 de maduración fue suplementado para tres experimentos: (M1) 5 vs. 10% FBS; (M2) 0,4 vs.
79 0,8% BSA; y (M3) mejores resultados de M1, M2 y la combinación de FBS+BSA (5+0,8%).
80 **Resultados:** En el paso 1 (R1 y R2), los medios con 10% FBS y 10% BSA mostraron mejores
81 resultados de calidad oocitaria y fueron definidos para el experimento R3. En R3, 10% FBS y

82 la combinación de FBS+BSA (5+5%) permitieron la recuperación de ovocitos de mejor
83 calidad. En la etapa 2 (M1 y M2), los medios con ambos niveles de FBS (5 y 10%) y 0,8% de
84 BSA se definieron como mejores de acuerdo con las tasas de maduración y viabilidad de las
85 células del *cumulus*, por lo que se definieron para el experimento M3. En M3, no se observó
86 diferencia entre los suplementos. **Conclusiones:** Para la recuperación de ovocitos, se puede
87 utilizar 10% de FBS y la combinación de FBS+BSA (5+5%) para obtener ovocitos
88 inmaduros. Para la maduración *in vitro*, FBS (ambos niveles, 5 y 10%) y BSA (0,8%) se
89 pueden usar solos o en combinación.

90 **Palabras clave:** *albúmina sérica bovina; azul de cresil brillante; calidad de los ovocitos;*
91 *competencia meiótica; maduración de ovocitos; producción de embriones in vitro;*
92 *recuperación de ovocitos; suero fetal bovino.*
93

94 **Resumo**

95 **Antecedentes:** A qualidade e a maturação de oócitos são influenciadas pela suplementação
96 proteica. **Objetivo:** Avaliar a influência de concentrações de soro fetal bovino (FBS) e
97 albumina sérica bovina (BSA) sobre a recuperação e maturação *in vitro* (IVM) de oócitos
98 bovinos. **Métodos:** O estudo foi dividido em etapa 1 (recuperação de oócitos) e etapa 2
99 (IVM). Na primeira etapa, três experimentos foram realizados de acordo com o meio de
100 recuperação: (R1) 10 vs. 20% FBS; (R2) 5 vs. 10% BSA; e (R3) melhores resultados de R1,
101 R2 e a combinação de FBS+BSA (5+5%). Na segunda etapa, o meio de maturação foi
102 suplementado de acordo com três experimentos: (M1) 5 vs. 10% FBS; (M2) 0,4 vs. 0,8%
103 BSA; e (M3) melhores resultados de M1, M2 e a combinação de FBS+BSA (5+0,8%).
104 **Resultados:** Na etapa 1 (R1 e R2), os meios com 10% FBS e 10% BSA mostraram melhores
105 resultados de qualidade oocitária e foram definidos para o experimento R3. Em R3, 10% FBS
106 e a combinação de FBS+BSA (5+5%) permitiram a recuperação de oócitos de melhor
107 qualidade. Na segunda etapa (M1 e M2), meios com ambos os níveis de FBS (5 e 10%) e
108 0,8% BSA foram definidos como os melhores de acordo com as taxas de maturação e
109 viabilidade de células do *cumulus*, então foram definidos para o experimento M3. No M3, não
110 houve diferença entre os suplementos. **Conclusões:** Para a recuperação oocitária, 10% FBS e
111 a combinação de FBS+BSA (5+5%) podem ser usados para obter oócitos imaturos. Para
112 maturação *in vitro*, FBS (ambos os níveis, 5 e 10%) e BSA (0,8%) podem ser usados sozinhos
113 ou em combinação.

114 **Palavras-chave:** *albumina sérica bovina; azul cresil brilhante; competência meiótica;*
115 *maturação oocitária; produção in vitro de embriões; qualidade do oócito; recuperação do*
116 *oocitária; soro fetal bovino.*

117

118 **Introduction**

119 *In vitro* embryo production (IVEP) has been prominent over the years, especially in the
120 production of domestic animals, such as cattle (Boruszewska *et al.*, 2016). Nevertheless, the
121 IVEP has limitations that render it a less efficient technique, generating about 30–50% of
122 viable blastocysts (Gonçalves *et al.*, 2007; Pereira *et al.*, 2010). Thus, improvements are
123 required in the culture conditions of various stages of IVEP such as oocyte recovery, *in vitro*
124 maturation (IVM) of the selected oocytes, and fertilization (IVF) and development (IVD) of
125 the presumed zygotes to the blastocyst stage, which can be transferred or cryopreserved
126 according to the requirement (Varago *et al.*, 2008).

127

128 These initial steps of oocyte recovery and maturation are of great importance for better
129 results, as the quality of *cumulus*-oocyte complexes (COCs) obtained determines the later
130 steps of the technique (Santos *et al.*, 2016; Santos *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, to ensure nutrition
131 and energy support in *in vitro* oocyte development, it is necessary to supplement the media
132 with external protein sources. In this regard, protein sources such as fetal bovine serum (FBS)
133 and bovine serum albumin (BSA) have been added (Ambrogi *et al.*, 2017).

134

135 FBS is an undefined protein source consisting of a combination of proteins, growth factors,
136 hormones, vitamins, and other nutrients present in the blood plasma (Van Der Valk *et al.*,
137 2010; Leivas *et al.*, 2011). In contrast, BSA is a purified protein that is present at high
138 concentrations in blood plasma with high capacity and affinity for binding with other
139 biomolecules (Muñoz and Mischler, 2015). Despite the extensive usage, the concentration of
140 FBS and BSA in the recovery and maturation media varies among protocols, whether used
141 alone or in combination (Sugimura *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, establishing the ideal
142 concentration according to the influence on oocyte quality and maturation rate can contribute
143 to increase the success of IVEP.

144

145 Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the quality of bovine immature oocytes recovered
146 and selected in medium containing different concentrations of FBS (10 *vs.* 20%) and BSA (5
147 *vs.* 10%), as well as to analyze the effect of these protein sources on the IVM of bovine
148 oocytes (FBS: 5 *vs.* 10%; BSA: 0.4 *vs.* 0.8%).

149

150 **Materials and methods**

151 *Ethical considerations*

152 The research was conducted according to the institutional committee of animal usage
153 (CEUA/UFERSA, no. 23091.001069/2015-79). All reagents used were from Sigma-Aldrich®
154 (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), except when indicated.

155

156 *Study design*

157 The study was divided into two steps. In step 1, protein sources were added in the recovery
158 medium and in step 2 in the IVM medium. In the first step, oocytes were obtained in the
159 recovery medium composed of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution, and three
160 experiments were performed according to the following supplements: (R1) 10 vs. 20% FBS
161 (Gibco, Carlsbad, Kentucky, EUA); (R2) 5 vs. 10% BSA; and (R3) better results from
162 experiments R1, R2, and the combination of FBS+BSA (5+5%). Thus, the better results were
163 defined according to better recovery rate, viable oocytes by morphology, and viable oocytes
164 by brilliant cresyl blue (BCB) of each experiment. Additionally, experiments with higher
165 percentage of viable oocytes were considered more significant during the evaluation of the
166 results. After recovery, oocytes were evaluated for morphological appearance and BCB assay.

167

168 In the second step, we aimed to evaluate protein sources in the IVM medium; three
169 experiments were carried out to compare the following: (M1) 5 vs. 10% FBS; (M2) 0.4 vs.
170 0.8% BSA; and (M3) better results from experiments M1, M2 and the combination of
171 FBS+BSA (5+0.8%). Thus, the better results were defined according to better rates of
172 expansion and viability of *cumulus* cells (CCs), presence of the first polar body (1PB) and
173 metaphase plate (MII) of each experiment. For this purpose, oocytes were recovered in
174 TCM199 supplemented with 10% FBS, 40 µg/mL gentamicin sulfate, and 0.2 mM sodium
175 pyruvate. They were subjected to IVM and evaluated for the expansion and viability of CCs,
176 presence of the 1PB and MII.

177

178 *Ovarian collection and oocyte recovery*

179 Bovine ovaries (n = 735) were collected at a local slaughterhouse (Mossoró, Rio Grande do
180 Norte, Brazil). Immediately after slaughter, the ovaries were transported in saline solution
181 (NaCl, 0.9%) at a temperature of 35 °C for up to 30 min. In the laboratory, follicular
182 aspiration was performed with the aid of 21G needles coupled to 5 mL syringes for 10–20
183 min. Thus, only visible follicles on the ovarian surface with a diameter of 2–8 mm were
184 counted and aspirated. The follicular fluid obtained was kept at rest for 15 min for

185 sedimentation of the oocytes, which were then recovered. The time between the ovarian
186 collection and oocyte recovery was approximately 60 min.

187

188 *Morphological evaluation and bright cresyl blue assay*

189 The recovered COCs were morphologically classified as either viable (one or more layers of
190 CCs and homogeneous cytoplasm) or non-viable (less than one layer of CCs and
191 heterogeneous or degenerated cytoplasm), according Santos *et al.* (2016).

192

193 For the BCB assay, oocytes selected by morphological criteria were washed in PBS and
194 incubated in drops of BCB diluted in PBS (26 μ M) for 60 min at 38.5 °C. Then, under
195 stereomicroscope (Bel Photonics, Monza, BM, Italy), oocytes with blue staining in their
196 cytoplasm were considered BCB⁺ and more competent. Those with unchanged cytoplasm
197 were considered BCB⁻ and less competent. This test is based on the ability of the enzyme
198 glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) to reduce BCB from blue to colorless in
199 growing oocytes, whereas in oocytes grown at low activity the enzyme allows them to remain
200 blue (Maia *et al.*, 2017).

201

202 *In vitro maturation (IVM) and evaluation of mature oocytes*

203 In step 2, after oocyte retrieval, 20–30 morphologically classified as viable oocytes were
204 transferred to droplets (covered with mineral oil) of the IVM medium consisting of TCM199
205 supplemented with 100 μ M cysteamine, 0.2 mM sodium pyruvate, 40 μ g/mL gentamicin
206 sulfate, 10 μ g/mL FSH/LH (Pluset®, Callier, Buenos Aires, ARG), and a protein source. The
207 IVM was performed in petri dishes (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) at a temperature of 38.5 °C
208 in a humid atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ for 24 h.

209

210 After IVM, oocytes with expanded CCs, identified under a stereomicroscope, were considered
211 mature and those that did not show expansion were considered immature. For evaluation of
212 the viability of CCs, oocytes were denuded by repeated pipetting and the resulting cell
213 suspension was stained with trypan blue (0.2%). The cells were counted in the four quadrants
214 at the edges of the Neubauer chamber, where the blue stained and non-stained cells were
215 considered as dead and live, respectively.

216

217 After CC removal, the oocytes were morphologically evaluated for the presence of 1PB under
218 stereomicroscope. Structures showing the 1PB were considered mature. Furthermore, the

219 nuclear stage of the oocytes was evaluated by fluorescence microscopy. For this, oocytes
220 were fixed in paraformaldehyde (4%) and stained with Hoechst 33342 (10 µg/mL) for 15 min.
221 Only oocytes with nuclear stage in metaphase II were classified as mature.

222

223 *Statistical analysis*

224 Recovery rates (oocytes recovered on the total of aspirated follicles), oocyte quality (viable
225 oocytes on the total oocytes recovered), and maturation rates (oocytes showing expanded
226 CCs, presence of 1PB, and MII on total oocytes evaluated) were compared among the groups
227 and the results were analyzed by the Fisher exact test (GraphPad Software INSTAT 3.06).
228 The viability rate of CCs (live cells/total cells counted × 100) was analyzed by the Chi-square
229 test. Data were expressed as mean ± standard error and considered different when $p < 0.05$. For
230 each experiment, five replicates were considered.

231

232 **Results**

233 In step 1 (Table 1), which consisted of the evaluation of protein supplementation in immature
234 bovine oocyte collection media, a total of 266 ovaries resulted in 1.978 oocytes, making a
235 general mean of 7.4 oocytes retrieved per ovary. Thus, in experiment R1, 10% FBS was
236 superior ($p < 0.05$) compared to the 20% FBS group in the morphological evaluation and lower
237 in the BCB test. Nevertheless, despite the superior result in the BCB test for the concentration
238 of 20% FBS, it was observed that this result occurred due to the higher amount of
239 morphologically non-viable oocytes. Already in the experiment R2, 10% BSA presented
240 better result in the morphological evaluation when compared to the 5% BSA ($p < 0.05$).
241 Nevertheless, the two concentrations of BSA showed statistically similar results in the BCB
242 assay. Thus, 10% FBS and 10% BSA were used in R3.

243

244 In the latter experiment, 10% FBS and 10% BSA were compared to the 5+5% FBS+BSA
245 combination. The 10% BSA group had a higher recovery rate than the other groups. However,
246 the combination of protein sources obtained a higher number of viable oocytes per
247 morphology. In contrast, 10% FBS medium showed a higher percentage of viable oocytes
248 using the BCB assay. Therefore, supplements that achieved positive results in oocyte quality,
249 that is, FBS+BSA (5+5%) combination and 10% FBS, were considered better.

250

251 In step 2 (Table 2), which aimed to evaluate these supplements in the IVM media, a total of
252 469 ovaries resulted in 1.040 viable oocytes per morphology, making a mean of 2.2 viable

253 oocytes per ovary. As for experiment M1, there was no difference ($p>0.05$) between the 5%
254 FBS and 10% FBS groups regarding the expansion and viability of CCs, presence of 1PB and
255 MII. Therefore, 5 and 10% FBS were evaluated in the M3 experiment.

256

257 In experiment M2, 0.8% BSA was superior ($p<0.05$) only in the viability of CCs compared to
258 the 0.4% BSA, and the 0.8% BSA was used in the subsequent experiments. In experiment
259 M3, 5, 10% FBS, 0.8% BSA and the FBS+BSA (5+0.8%) combination were similar ($p>0.05$)
260 for all evaluated parameters.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

261 **Table 1.** Evaluation of different concentrations of protein supplements in bovine immature oocyte recovery medium (R): Recovery 1 (10% FBS
 262 vs. 20% FBS), R2 (5% BSA vs. 10% BSA), and R3 (the combination of FBS+BSA (5+5%) vs. 10% FBS vs. 10% BSA).

Parameters	Recovery 1 (R1)		Recovery 2 (R2)			Recovery 3 (R3)	
	10% FBS	20% FBS	5% BSA	10% BSA	FBS+BSA (5+5%)	10% FBS	10% BSA
Recovery rate, % (n)	56.2 ± 6.9 ^a (168/299)	63.0 ± 5.9 ^a (216/343)	53.5 ± 5.0 ^a (283/529)	45.3 ± 6.4 ^b (203/448)	40.9 ± 4.8 ^b (201/491)	41.9 ± 3.1 ^b (239/570)	53.0 ± 5.5 ^a (219/413)
Viable oocytes by morphology, % (n)	75.6 ± 4.9 ^a (127/168)	58.8 ± 6.9 ^b (127/216)	64.3 ± 8.4 ^b (182/283)	73.9 ± 3.6 ^a (150/203)	79.6 ± 2.0 ^a (160/201)	68.6 ± 4.8 ^b (164/239)	63.6 ± 4.5 ^b (148/219)
Viable oocytes by BCB, % (n)	38.7 ± 8.9 ^a (65/168)	49.5 ± 8.3 ^b (107/216)	11.0 ± 3.8 ^a (31/283)	17.2 ± 1.8 ^a (35/203)	18.4 ± 3.7 ^b (37/201)	29.3 ± 5.5 ^a (70/239)	11.4 ± 3.9 ^b (25/219)

263 Values are means (%) ± Standard Errors (n). Different superscript letters (^a, ^b) in each experiment (R1, R2 and R3) within the same row indicate significant difference
 264 (p<0.05).
 265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274 **Table 2.** Evaluation of different concentrations of protein supplements in the *in vitro* maturation medium (IVM) of bovine oocytes: Maturation 1
 275 (5% FBS vs. 10% FBS), M2 (0.4% BSA vs. 0.8% BSA), and M3 (the combination of FBS+BSA (5+0.8%) vs. 5% FBS vs. 10% FBS vs. 0.8%
 276 BSA).

Parameters	Maturation 1 (M1)		Maturation 2 (M2)		Maturation 3 (M3)			
	5% FBS	10% FBS	0.4% BSA	0.8% BSA	FBS+BSA (5+0.8%)	5% FBS	10% FBS	0.8% BSA
Cumulus cells								
Expansion, % (n)	100.0 ± 0.0 ^a (84/84)	97.8 ± 2.7 ^a (92/94)	100.0 ± 0.0 ^a (116/116)	97.8 ± 0.0 ^a (113/113)	98.1 ± 1.5 ^a (103/105)	99.0 ± 1.4 ^a (104/105)	97.6 ± 1.4 ^a (109/110)	93.3 ± 2.3 ^a (98/105)
Viability, % (n)	73.0 ± 13.9 ^a (842/1154)	71.0 ± 9.7 ^a (719/1012)	56.0 ± 4.2 ^b (1740/3109)	59.2 ± 14.3 ^a (1458/2464)	56.1 ± 4.0 ^a (1325/2361)	56.9 ± 3.3 ^a (1151/2024)	57.6 ± 2.2 ^a (1352/2348)	58.5 ± 3.6 ^a (1149/1965)
Nuclear stage								
1PB, % (n)	84.1 ± 6.0 ^a (69/84)	78.3 ± 4.0 ^a (72/92)	80.2 ± 9.5 ^a (93/116)	87.6 ± 4.9 ^a (99/113)	89.7 ± 5.4 ^a (78/87)	88.7 ± 5.2 ^a (86/97)	79.5 ± 6.4 ^a (83/98)	87.5 ± 3.0 ^a (91/104)
MII, % (n)	60.3 ± 3.9 ^a (41/68)	54.0 ± 6.6 ^a (47/87)	69.7 ± 13.1 ^a (76/109)	60.2 ± 1.8 ^a (56/93)	62.7 ± 11.3 ^a (42/67)	60.8 ± 4.3 ^a (48/79)	65.8 ± 9.9 ^a (52/79)	66.7 ± 4.5 ^a (48/72)

277 Values are means (%) ± Standard Error (n). Different superscript letters (^a, ^b) in each experiment (M1, M2 and M3) within the same row indicate significant difference
 278 (p<0.05). 1PB: first polar body. MII: metaphase II.

279 **Discussion**

280 In this study, different concentrations of two protein sources (FBS and BSA) were evaluated
281 as supplementation in bovine oocyte recovery and IVM media. In the recovery medium (R1),
282 the 10% FBS concentration presented the best result for morphological quality in comparison
283 with the 20% concentration. This fact demonstrates that even at a low concentration, FBS is
284 able to provide good nutrition to the oocytes during the recovery while maintaining a high
285 quality for IVM. In contrast, a better effect of BSA (R2) was observed at the highest
286 concentration tested (10%), probably due to the simpler composition of this supplement.
287 Nevertheless, in the third experiment (R3), when comparing the 10% FBS, 10% BSA, and the
288 FBS+BSA (5+5%) combination, the most positive supplements for oocyte quality in the
289 recovery were 10% FBS and FBS+BSA (5+5%) combination.

290

291 In general, the concentration of FBS in the oocyte recovery media is variable. Chasombat *et*
292 *al.* (2015) used 10% FBS in bovine oocyte retrieval medium and obtained a good blastocyst
293 rate (30.9%) at the end of IVF. On the other hand, Chen *et al.* (2016) used a concentration of
294 2% FBS during the recovery and reached 23.0% of blastocysts after 8 days of culture of the
295 embryos generated. Furthermore, with respect to BSA, previous studies show variations in the
296 quantities used. As an example, Ulloa *et al.* (2014) compared bovine oocyte harvesting
297 methods using a concentration of 0.1% BSA and obtained 79.8% of maturation after follicular
298 aspiration. In the study by Carrocera *et al.* (2016), the bovine oocyte recovery medium was
299 supplemented with 0.4% BSA and, after IVF, up to 37.5% blastocyst was obtained. In other
300 species, such as pigs and mice, the use of 0.4% (Galeati *et al.*, 2010) and 10% (Dinopoulou *et*
301 *al.*, 2016) of BSA has also been reported. In addition, the conjugation of FBS+BSA (5+5%)
302 in the medium of recovery may be an interesting supplement, since it allows a great
303 nutritional contribution to the oocytes and showed a better rate of BCB⁺ oocytes.

304

305 The use of the BCB assay for the selection of viable bovine oocytes proved to be an efficient
306 method by allowing the semi-quantification of the G6PDH enzyme, indicative of the stage of
307 oocyte development. According to Ashry *et al.* (2015), oocytes classified as BCB⁺ in the
308 recovery step are shown to be more competent for embryonic development. From this, it is
309 suggested that this technique be performed in conjunction with the morphological evaluation,
310 since morphologically non-viable oocytes for IVEP can also be stained using the dye.

311

312 In the IVM step, different concentrations of FBS and BSA were evaluated separately and
313 associated, then, the best results were compared with a group containing both supplements.
314 Regarding FBS, the two concentrations (5 and 10%) tested showed no difference in the
315 parameters evaluated. In the experiment with BSA (M2), the concentration of 0.8% presented
316 superiority compared to 0.4% regarding the viability of CCs. Previously, Ali and Sirard
317 (2002) compared the presence of different protein sources during maturation. They verified
318 the effect of the presence of free fatty acid BSA (0.8%), isolated BSA (0.8%), chicken egg
319 albumin, polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) (0.8%), and 10% FBS in the media, and obtained a low
320 maturation rate (44.0%) when using 0.8% BSA concentration, but achieved the best results
321 using PVP supplementation, which is different from the results of the present study.

322

323 The cells that surround the oocyte are of great importance for oocyte maturation, as they
324 present receptors for gonadotrophins (FSH and LH), and perform communication and
325 exchange of nutrients and molecules important for oocyte development (Crocomo *et al.*,
326 2011). Thus, the evaluation of CCs important for efficient IVM, because the viability
327 parameter reveals the normal functioning of oocyte communication and media efficiency, and
328 the expansion is related to cytoplasmic maturation (Araújo *et al.*, 2014).

329

330 In the M3 experiment, concentrations of 5 and 10% of FBS, 0.8% BSA, and FBS+BSA
331 (5+0.8%) combination were compared. According to Del Collado *et al.* (2014), low
332 concentrations of FBS used in IVM can generate results similar to that obtained under high
333 FBS concentrations. Thus, the lowest concentration of FBS (5%) was used in the medium
334 containing the conjugation of the protein sources. After the evaluations of the CCs and
335 nuclear stage, all groups presented similar results. This may be justified because the
336 concentrations of FBS and BSA present enough nutrients to ensure oocyte development.
337 Furthermore, Ambrogi *et al.* (2017) compared the transport of bovine oocytes in media
338 supplemented with 10% FBS or 0.6% BSA followed by IVM with 10% FBS and found no
339 statistical differences in the rates of MII, blastocysts, cryotolerance, levels of reactive oxygen
340 species, and mitochondrial distribution (cytoplasmic maturation). This shows that the two
341 supplements have similar efficiency.

342

343 The use of more than one protein source during the same stage of IVEP has already been
344 reported previously by other authors. Leivas *et al.* (2011) used a medium supplemented with
345 BSA and FBS for the culture of bovine embryos, where they obtained blastocyst rates of up to

346 43%. Del Collado *et al.* (2015) compared the presence of FBS+BSA (5+0.6%) conjugation
347 during IVM where the rate of 89.6% of maturation was reached in the presence of 1PB, a
348 result very similar to that obtained in the present study (89.7%). These authors suggest that
349 only the presence of BSA in the IVM medium has lower effectiveness compared to the
350 medium containing both protein sources. Therefore, it is believed that the supplementation of
351 FBS together with BSA presents a greater protein supply for the development of COCs, by
352 combining positive characteristics of the two supplements. However, when used alone at
353 suitable concentrations, FBS and BSA show positive and similar results and can be used for
354 bovine oocyte IVM.

355

356 In conclusion, supplementation with FBS+BSA (5+5%) in combination and 10% FBS is
357 beneficial for the recovery medium, allowing a greater number of viable oocytes to be
358 obtained by morphology and BCB assay. At the IVM stage, only addition of 0.4% BSA
359 would not be of interest as a supplementation of the IVM medium of bovine oocytes. Thus,
360 FBS (both levels, 5 and 10%) and BSA (0.8%) can be used alone or in combination with
361 similar positive effect on oocyte maturation.

362

363 **Funding**

364 This research was supported by grants from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento
365 Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil, grant number 477710/2013-1) and Coordenação de
366 Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES, Finance Code 001). Alexandra F
367 Pereira is CNPq investigator (Process no.306963/2017-5).

368

369 **Author contribution**

370 PH Fernandes-França has designed the study, acquired and analyzed the data, and drafted the
371 paper, MV Oliveira-Santos, GP Oliveira-Lira, and A Azevedo-Borges contributed with
372 experiments, A Fernandes-Pereira designed and guided the experimental work, acquisition
373 and analyzed data, drafted paper, revising it critically.

374

375 **Acknowledgments**

376 We thank the slaughterhouse and industrial refrigerator of Mossoro (Brazil) for supplying the
377 biologic material.

378

379 **Conflicts of interest**

380 The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the work presented in this
381 report.

382

383 **References**

384 Ali A, Sirard MA. Effect of the absence or presence of various protein supplements on further
385 development of bovine oocytes during *in vitro* maturation. Biol Reprod 2002; 66(4):901-905.
386 DOI: 10.1095/biolreprod66.4.901

387

388 Ambrogi M, Dall'Acqua PC, Rocha-Frigoni NAS, Leão BCS, Mingoti GZ. Transporting
389 bovine oocytes in a medium supplemented with different macromolecules and antioxidants:
390 Effects on nuclear and cytoplasmic maturation and embryonic development *in vitro*. Reprod
391 Domest Anim 2017; 52(3):409-421. DOI: 10.1111/rda.12923

392

393 Araújo MS, Midyan DG, Volpato R, Landim FC. Principais mecanismos envolvidos na
394 maturação oocitária em bovinos: da oogenese a maturação *in vitro*. Encic Biosf 2014;
395 10:2373-2388. DOI: <http://hdl.handle.net/11449/137126>

396

397 Ashry M, Lee K, Mondal M, Data TK, Folger JK, Rajput SK, Zhang K, Hemeida NA, Smith
398 GW. Expression of TGF β superfamily components and other markers of oocyte quality in
399 oocytes selected by brilliant cresyl blue staining: relevance to early embryonic development.
400 Mol Reprod Dev 2015; 82(3):251-264. DOI: 10.1002/mrd.22468

401

402 Boruszewska D, Sinderewicz E, Kowalczyk-Zieba J, Grycmacher K. Studies on
403 lysophosphatidic acid action during *in vitro* preimplantation embryo development. Domest
404 Anim Endocrinol 2016; 54:15-29. DOI: 10.1016/j.domaniend.2015.08.003

405

406 Carrocera S, Caamaño JN, Trigel B, Martín D, Díez C. Developmental kinetics of *in vitro*-
407 produced bovine embryos: an aid for making decisions. Theriogenology 2016; 85(5):822-827.
408 DOI: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.10.028

409

410 Chasombat J, Nagai T, Parnpai R, Vongpralub T. Pretreatment of *in vitro* matured bovine
411 oocytes with docetaxel before vitrification: effects on cytoskeleton integrity and
412 developmental ability after warming. Cryobiology 2015; 71(2):216-223.

413 DOI: 10.1016/j.cryobiol.2015.07.002

414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446

Chen H, Zhang L, Zou P, Wang Y. Effects of oocyte vitrification on epigenetic status in early bovine embryos. *Theriogenology* 2016; 86(3):868-878.

DOI: [10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.03.008)

Crocomo LF, Marques Filho WC, Landim-Alvarenga FC, Bicudo SD. Aspectos bioquímicos e ultraestruturais da maturação oocitária. *Vet Zoot* 2011; 18:542-552.

DOI: <http://hdl.handle.net/11449/141245>

Del Collado M, Saraiva NZ, Lopes FL, Cruz MH, Gaspar RC, Oliveira CS, Perecin F, Garcia JM. Efeitos da redução ou substituição do soro fetal bovino por outros compostos na maturação *in vitro* de oócitos bovinos. *Pesqui Vet Bras* 2014; 34(7):689-694.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-736X2014000700014>

Del Collado M, Saraiva NZ, Lopes FL, Gaspar RG, Padilha LC, Costa RR, Rossi GF, Vantini R, Garcia JM. Influence of bovine serum albumin and fetal bovine serum supplementation during *in vitro* maturation on lipid and mitochondrial behaviour in oocytes and lipid accumulation in bovine embryos. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 2015; 28:1-12. DOI: 10.1071/RD15067

Dinopoulou V, Drakakis P, Kefala S, Kiapekou E, Bletsas R, Anagnostou E, Kallianidis K, Loutradis D. Effect of recombinant-LH and hCG in the absence of FSH on *in vitro* maturation (IVM) fertilization and early embryonic development of mouse germinal vesicle (GV)-stage oocytes. *Reprod Biol* 2016; 16(2):138-146. DOI: 10.1016/j.repbio.2016.01.004

Galeati G, Vallorani C, Bucci D, Bernadini C, Tamanini C, Parmeggiani A, Spinaci M. Daidzein does affect progesterone secretion by pig *cumulus* cells but it does not impair oocytes IVM. *Theriogenology* 2010; 74(3):451-457.

DOI: [10.1016/j.theriogenology.2010.02.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2010.02.028)

Gonçalves PB, Barreta MH, Sandri LR, Ferreira R, Antoniazzi AQ. *In vitro* production of bovine embryos: the state of the art. *Rev Bras Reprod Anim* 2007; 31:212-217.

DOI: [10.1079/PAVSNNR201510048](https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR201510048)

447 Leivas FG, Brum DS, Fialho SS, Saliba WP, Alvim MTT, Bernardi ML, Rubin MIB, Silva
448 CAM. Fetal calf serum enhances *in vitro* production of *Bos taurus indicus* embryos.
449 Theriogenology 2011; 75(3):429-433. DOI: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2010.08.017
450

451 Maia RC, Mogollón EM, Dubeibe DF, Pena B, Dias AJB. Effect of seasonality on the quality
452 of bovine oocytes selected by brilliant cresyl blue method. Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2017;
453 30:259-266. DOI: 10.17533/udea.rccp.v30n4a01
454

455 Muñoz AI, Mischler S. Electrochemical quartz crystal microbalance and X-Ray Photoelectron
456 spectroscopy study of cathodic reactions in bovine serum albumin containing solutions on a
457 physical vapour deposition-CoCrMo biomedical alloy. Electrochim Acta 2015; 180:96-103.
458 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2015.08.017>
459

460 Pereira MM, Costa FQ, Oliveira AP, Serapião RV, Machado MA, Viana JHM, Camargo
461 LSA. Quantificação de transcritos maternos em oócitos bovinos submetidos a diferentes
462 condições de maturação. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec 2010; 62(6):1394-1400.
463 DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-09352010000600015>
464

465 Santos MVO, Borges AA, Queiroz Neta LB, Pereira AF. Influence of the recovery method on
466 the quanti-qualitative parameters of bovine oocytes. ARS Vet 2016; 32:105-109.
467 DOI: 2175-0106
468

469 Santos MVO, Queiroz Neta LB, Borges AA, Pereira AF. Influence of commercially available
470 follicle stimulating hormone on the *in vitro* maturation of bovine oocytes. Semin Cienc Agrar
471 2017; 38(3):1393-1402. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5433/1679-0359.2017v38n3p1393>
472

473 Sugimura S, Yamanouchi T, Palmerini MG, Hashiyada Y, Imai K, Gilchrist RB. Effect of
474 pre-*in vitro* maturation with cAMP modulators on the acquisition of oocyte developmental
475 competence in cattle. J Reprod Dev 2018; 64(3):233-241. DOI: 10.1262/jrd.2018-009
476

477 Ulloa SMB, Heinzmann J, Herrmann D, Timmermann B, Baulain U, Grobfeld R, Diederich
478 M, Lucas-Hahn A, Niemann H. Effects of different oocyte retrieval and *in vitro* maturation
479 systemson bovine embryo development and quality. Zygote 2014; 23(3):367-377.
480 DOI: 10.1017/S0967199413000658

481

482 Van Der Valk J, Brunner D, Desmet K, Fex svenningsen A, Honegger P, Knudsen LE, Lindl
483 T, Noraberg J, Price A, Scarino ML, Gstraunthaler G. Optimization of chemically defined cell
484 culture media-replacing fetal bovine serum in mammalian *in vitro* methods. *Toxicol In Vitro*
485 2010; 24(4):1053-1063. DOI: 10.1016/j.tiv.2010.03.016

486

487 Varago FC, Mendonça LF, Lagares MA. Bovine *in vitro* embryo production: state of art and
488 perspective of a constant evolution technique. *Rev Bras Reprod Anim* 2008; 32:100-109.
489 DOI: 10.1079/201510048

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT