

1        **This unedited manuscript has been accepted for future publication. The**  
2        **manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and galley review before**  
3        **final publication. Please note that this advanced version may differ from the**  
4        **final version.**









## SHORT COMMUNICATION

### **Effect of *Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus* on pigmentation and profitability of Cobb 500 broiler chicken**

*Efecto de Daucus carota subsp. sativus sobre la pigmentación y rentabilidad del pollo de  
engorde Cobb 500*

*Efeito de Daucus carota subsp. sativus na pigmentação e rentabilidade de frangos de  
corte Cobb 500*

Janeth Jácome-Gómez <sup>1\*</sup> , Gina Loor-Moreira <sup>1</sup> , Marco De-la-Cruz Chicaiza <sup>1</sup> , Janeth Intriago-Vera <sup>1</sup> ,  
Jeniffer Espinoza-Zambrano <sup>2</sup> , Milton Zambrano-Rivera <sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Facultad de Ingeniería Agropecuaria, Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí, El Carmen, Ecuador.

<sup>2</sup> Facultad de Ingeniería Agropecuaria, Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí, Manta, Ecuador.

<sup>3</sup> Facultad de Contabilidad y Auditoría, Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí, El Carmen, Ecuador.

---

Received: February 6, 2024. Accepted: November 7, 2024

\*Corresponding author: Facultad de Ingeniería Agropecuaria, Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí, El Carmen, Ecuador. Av. 3 de Julio, 130401. Email: [janeth.jacome@uleam.edu.ec](mailto:janeth.jacome@uleam.edu.ec)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 Universidad de Antioquia. Published by Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia.

eISSN: 2256-2958

Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu

<https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.rccp.v38n2a8>

24 *To cite this article:*

25 Jácome-Gómez J, Loor-Moreira G, De-la-Cruz-Chicaiza M, Intriago-Vera J, Espinoza-Zambrano J, Milton  
26 Zambrano-Rivera. Effect of *Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus* on pigmentation and profitability of Cobb 500 broiler  
27 chicken. Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu. Year, Vol, number, and pages pending. DOI:  
28 <https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.rccp.v38n2a8>

29

### 30 **Abstract**

31 **Background:** The quality of chicken meat, essential for consumer satisfaction, is influenced  
32 by skin pigmentation. The lack of carotenoids in conventional diets motivates the search for  
33 cost-effective alternatives to enhance these aspects in poultry production. **Objective:** This study  
34 assessed the impact of partially replacing commercial balanced feed with different levels of  
35 carrot flour (*Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus*) on productive parameters and the pigmentation of  
36 broiler chickens. **Methods:** 64 Cobb 500 birds were randomly assigned to four experimental  
37 diets, each with eight replicates of two birds. The control group (T0) received a standard diet,  
38 while the experimental groups received a diet with 10 (T1), 15 (T2), and 20% (T3) replacement  
39 with carrot flour (CF). Variables such as feed consumption, weight gain, feed conversion,  
40 mortality, skin pigmentation, and profitability were evaluated. **Results:** Treatments with 15 and  
41 20% carrot flour (CF) resulted in significantly higher feed consumption ( $p < 0.05$ ) compared to  
42 the control group. Although weight gain did not show significant differences between groups  
43 ( $p > 0.05$ ), a trend towards higher gains was observed in the experimental groups. The feed  
44 conversion ratio increased non-significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) with higher CF concentrations. No  
45 mortality was observed in the experimental groups, whereas the control group had a mortality  
46 rate of 12.5%. Regarding pigmentation, CF influenced skin color as its concentration in the diet  
47 increased. In terms of profitability, the 15% replacement treatment stood out by generating  
48 higher income and a superior cost-benefit ratio. **Conclusion:** Orange cultivar CF can be a viable  
49 dietary source of natural pigment for broiler chickens. It may also be beneficial in promoting  
50 weight gain and reducing mortality, translating into economic advantages.

51

52 **Keywords:** *Carotenoids; carrot; chicken feed; meat quality; natural pigment; profitability;*  
53 *skin color; yellow-skinned chicken.*

54

### 55 **Resumen**

56 **Antecedentes:** La calidad de la carne de pollo, esencial para la satisfacción del consumidor,  
57 se ve influida por la pigmentación de la piel. La falta de carotenoides en las dietas

58 convencionales motiva la búsqueda de alternativas rentables para mejorar estos aspectos en la  
59 producción avícola. **Objetivo:** Este estudio evaluó el efecto de la sustitución parcial del  
60 alimento balanceado comercial con diferentes niveles de harina de zanahoria (*Daucus carota*  
61 subsp. *Sativus*) sobre los parámetros productivos y la pigmentación de los pollos de engorde.  
62 **Métodos:** 64 aves Cobb 500 fueron asignadas aleatoriamente a cuatro dietas experimentales  
63 con ocho repeticiones de dos aves cada una. El grupo control (T0) recibió una dieta estándar y  
64 los grupos experimentales una dieta con reemplazo del alimento balanceado en un 10 (T1), 15  
65 (T2) y 20% (T3) por harina de zanahoria (HZ). Se evaluaron las variables consumo de alimento,  
66 ganancia de peso, conversión alimenticia, mortalidad, pigmentación de la piel y rentabilidad.  
67 **Resultados:** los tratamientos con 15 y 20% de HZ resultaron en un consumo de alimento  
68 significativamente mayor ( $p < 0.05$ ) en comparación con el grupo control. Aunque la ganancia  
69 de peso no mostró diferencias significativas entre los grupos ( $p > 0.05$ ), se observó una  
70 tendencia hacia mayores ganancias en los grupos con HZ. El índice de conversión alimenticia  
71 mostró un incremento no significativo ( $p > 0.05$ ) con mayores concentraciones de HZ. Ninguno  
72 de los grupos experimentales presentó mortalidad, mientras que el grupo control tuvo una tasa  
73 de mortalidad del 12.5%. En cuanto a la pigmentación, la HZ influyó en la coloración de la piel  
74 a medida que aumentaba su concentración en la dieta. En relación con la rentabilidad, destacó  
75 el tratamiento con el 15% de reemplazo que generó mayores ingresos y un índice superior de  
76 beneficio/costo. **Conclusión:** La HZ del cultivar anaranjado puede ser una fuente dietética  
77 viable de pigmento natural para pollos de engorde. Además, puede resultar beneficioso en  
78 términos de ganancia de peso y menor pérdida por mortalidad, lo que se traduce en beneficios  
79 económicos.

80

81 **Palabras clave:** *Alimento para pollos; calidad de la carne; carotenoides; color de piel;*  
82 *pigmento natural; pollo de piel amarilla; zanahoria; rentabilidad.*

83

#### 84 **Resumo**

85 **Antecedentes:** A qualidade da carne de frango, essencial para a satisfação do consumidor, é  
86 influenciada pela pigmentação da pele. A falta de carotenoides nas dietas convencionais motiva  
87 a busca por alternativas rentáveis para aprimorar esses aspectos na produção avícola. **Objetivo:**  
88 Este estudo avaliou o efeito da substituição parcial da ração comercial por diferentes níveis de  
89 farinha de cenoura (*Daucus carota* subsp. *Sativus*) sobre os parâmetros produtivos e a  
90 pigmentação de frangos de corte. **Métodos:** 64 aves da linhagem Cobb 500 foram  
91 aleatoriamente designadas a quatro dietas experimentais com oito réplicas de duas aves cada.

92 O grupo controle (T0) recebeu uma dieta padrão, e os grupos experimentais receberam uma  
93 dieta com substituição da ração em 10 (T1), 15 (T2) e 20 (T3) por farinha de cenoura (FC).  
94 Foram avaliadas as variáveis consumo de ração, ganho de peso, conversão alimentar,  
95 mortalidade, pigmentação da pele e rentabilidade. **Resultados:** Os tratamentos com 15 e 20%  
96 de farinha de cenoura (FC) resultaram em um consumo de ração significativamente maior ( $p <$   
97  $0,05$ ) em comparação com o grupo controle. Embora o ganho de peso não tenha mostrado  
98 diferenças significativas entre os grupos ( $p > 0,05$ ), observou-se uma tendência de maiores  
99 ganhos nos grupos experimentais. O índice de conversão alimentar aumentou de forma não  
100 significativa ( $p > 0,05$ ) com concentrações mais altas de FC. Nenhum dos grupos experimentais  
101 apresentou mortalidade, enquanto o grupo controle teve uma taxa de mortalidade de 12,5%.  
102 Quanto à pigmentação, a FC influenciou a coloração da pele conforme aumentava sua  
103 concentração na dieta. Em relação à rentabilidade, o tratamento com 15% de substituição  
104 destacou-se ao gerar maiores receitas e uma relação benefício/custo superior. **Conclusão:** A FC  
105 do cultivar alaranjado pode ser uma fonte dietética viável de pigmento natural para frangos de  
106 corte. Além disso, pode ser benéfica em termos de ganho de peso e menor perda por  
107 mortalidade, resultando em benefícios econômicos.

108

109 **Palavras-chave:** *carotenóides; cenoura; cor da pele; frango de pele amarela;*  
110 *lucratividade; pigmento natural; qualidade da carne.*

111

## 112 **Introduction**

113 Meat quality is a fundamental aspect of poultry production and plays a crucial role in  
114 marketing due to its close connection to consumer satisfaction (Baéza *et al.*, 2022). High-quality  
115 meat encompasses sensory and nutritional properties. However, nutritional indicators cannot be  
116 known before consumption. Consumers tend to rely on sensory signals to predict food quality  
117 (de Araújo *et al.*, 2022). Among these signals, skin pigmentation stands out as the most  
118 important attribute associated with freshness and is perceived as safe food (Qamar, 2019).  
119 Although, in practical terms, pigmentation does not guarantee food safety, its influence on the  
120 initial judgment of meat affects purchasing decisions, making it an important economic trait (de  
121 Araújo *et al.*, 2022).

122 In Ecuador, there are preferences for a yellow skin color (Toalombo *et al.*, 2019). However,  
123 the main broiler chicken breeds lack the genetic capacity to naturally develop the appropriate  
124 color intensity (Wu *et al.*, 2021). As a result, poultry producers often add synthetic or natural

125 pigments to the ingredients used to produce yellow-skinned chickens (Rana *et al.*, 2021).  
126 However, synthetic pigments raise health and bioavailability concerns, while natural pigments  
127 are often not viable due to associated high costs (Martínez-Cámara *et al.*, 2021). These  
128 limitations have led to a growing interest in the search for natural sources rich in carotenoids  
129 that are cost-effective for inclusion in bird diets (Pasarín & Rovinaru, 2018).

130 Previous studies (Dabai *et al.*, 2021; Khan *et al.*, 2019; Ng'Ambi *et al.*, 2019; Muzaki *et al.*,  
131 2017; Ürüşan *et al.*, 2018) have documented the potential of carrots to favor the quality of meat  
132 in Hubbard, Lohmann, and Arbor Acre chickens. At the same time, they observed significant  
133 improvements in productive performance. However, they do not specify the carrot subspecies  
134 used. This lack of specificity complicates the understanding of how different carrot subspecies  
135 could influence the observed results, as carrot (*Daucus carota L.*) is known for its richness in  
136 carotenoids, and the total content of these compounds varies according to the root color. Among  
137 these, orange cultivars stand out for having a ten times higher content of carotenoids (Perrin *et*  
138 *al.*, 2017).

139 It is crucial to know which carrot subspecies has the desired effect in terms of pigmentation  
140 and bird performance to develop more effective and replicable feeding strategies. Additionally,  
141 to the best of our knowledge, no studies have been conducted on the impact of carrots on the  
142 meat quality of Cobb 500 chickens, suggesting the need for research on this genetic line before  
143 generalizing the observed benefits in other studies.

144 Thus, the main objective of this research was to evaluate the effect of carrot flour (*Daucus*  
145 *carota* subsp. *Sativus*) on the pigmentation, productive parameters, and profitability of Cobb  
146 500 broiler chickens.

147

## 148 **Materials and Methods**

### 149 *Ethical considerations*

150 This study received approval from the Research Ethics Committee of Universidad Laica  
151 Eloy Alfaro de Manabí. It complies with the ethical regulations established for scientific  
152 research processes involving animals at the institution (RCU-SE-No.47-2016), which focuses  
153 on ensuring the animals' quality of life, providing suitable conditions for transportation and  
154 housing, and avoiding excessive manipulations that may cause suffering. The sacrifice process  
155 was conducted following the protocol established by the Agencia de Regulación y Control Fito  
156 y Zoonosanitario de Ecuador (AGROCALIDAD, 2023).

157 *Experiment location*

158 The study was conducted at the Rio Suma Experimental Farm, located at the Faculty of  
159 Agricultural Engineering of the Laica Eloy Alfaro University of Manabí, El Carmen extension,  
160 Manabí province, Ecuador. The georeferential coordinates correspond to -0.262655 S and -  
161 79.427579 W, in an area characterized by a humid tropical climate. The agroecological  
162 properties in this area include an altitude of 260 meters above sea level, an air temperature in  
163 the shade of 24°C, an average annual precipitation of 190.98 mm, a relative humidity of 86%,  
164 1,026 hours of sunlight exposure per year, and an annual evaporation of 1,064 mm (INAMHI,  
165 2019).

166 *Experimental Design*

167 A total of 64 Cobb 500 broiler chickens were randomly assigned to three experimental diets  
168 and one control diet, with 8 replicates of 2 birds each. The treatments involved the partial  
169 substitution of commercial balanced feed with three levels of carrot flour (Table 1).

170

171 **Table 1.** Experimental Diets

Dietary Components	T0	T1	T2	T3
Commercial balanced feed (CBF)	100%	90%	85%	80%
Carrot flour (CF)	-	10%	15%	20%

172

173 *Carrot Flour*

174 Rejected orange-colored carrot roots (*Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus*) with aesthetic defects  
175 were purchased from a local producer in the Ambato canton (Tungurahua, Ecuador). Following  
176 the method of Hernández *et al.* (2015), the carrots were washed with 0.1% chlorinated water  
177 and cut into approximately 2 mm thick slices using a vegetable cutter (Sirman, model TM2  
178 INOX). They were then dried at a temperature of 60°C for 20 hours in a dehydrator (Vikale  
179 model MQ-DH-10). Subsequently, the dried carrots were ground using a manual (Victoria mill,  
180 model 30018), yielding a 13.50% yield.

181 The nutritional values of the balanced feed used in diet formulation were obtained from the  
182 food composition table. To determine the nutritional composition of carrot flour, a sample was  
183 sent to the laboratory for bromatological analysis (Table 2). The total carotenoid content was  
184 determined by spectrophotometry at 450 nm (UV-VIS Spectrophotometer Model T6U-UV-  
185 VIS). The value of this parameter was 21.34 mg/100 g.

186

187 **Table 2.** Nutritional composition of commercial balanced feed and carrot flour (per 100 g)

Parameter	Balanced Feed	Carrot Flour	Method
(%) Moisture	13	9.2	AOAC, Ed. 21. 2019 934.01
(% DM) Protein	18	8.92	AOAC, Ed. 21. 2019 2001.11
(% DM) Crude Fat	5	1.34	AOAC, Ed. 21. 2019 920.39
(% DM) Ash	7	5.78	AOAC, Ed. 21. 2019 942.05
(% DM) Fiber	4	7.84	ISO 16472-2007

188

189 The formulated diets were neither isoproteic nor isoenergetic. Although no analysis was  
 190 conducted on the dilution effect of the nutrients, it was estimated that the protein content varied,  
 191 with protein values of 18% in T0, 17.1% in T1, 16.6% in T2, and 16.2% in T3, reflecting a  
 192 reduction of 5% to 10%. Considering that the optimal protein range for Cobb 500 chickens is  
 193 between 17% and 18% (Cobb-Vantress, 2018), the diets that included carrot meal remain within  
 194 an acceptable limit.

195 *Experimental Management*

196 The birds, acquired at 5 days old with an initial weight of 45 g, were housed in a brooding  
 197 circle until the tenth day. Subsequently, they were randomly distributed into experimental units.  
 198 During the first 21 days, they were provided with the same standard diet formulated to meet  
 199 nutritional requirements at each stage. From then on until they reached the target weight on day  
 200 39, they received commercial balanced feed with carrot flour according to the substitution  
 201 levels. This represented a total experimental feeding period of 17 days. All birds were  
 202 immunized against Newcastle disease, infectious bronchitis, and Gumboro disease.  
 203 Additionally, they had free access to clean water and feed.

204 *Evaluation Methodology*

205 Throughout the 39 days the birds were kept in the poultry house, a daily record of food  
 206 consumption was maintained, subtracting rejected food from the total provided. Weekly weight  
 207 measurements were taken, and health status and mortality were monitored daily. Weighing was  
 208 conducted at 7:00 a.m., prior to food supply. Subsequently, during the evaluation phase, the  
 209 following productive parameters were calculated and analyzed for each treatment:

210 *Cumulative Feed Consumption (g/bird)*. To estimate the amount of food each bird consumed  
 211 over an experimental period; the total amount of food consumed was divided by the number of  
 212 fed birds.

213 *Cumulative Weight Gain (g/bird)*. To calculate the weight gain experienced by the birds, the  
 214 initial weight was subtracted from the final weight.

215 *Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)*. Calculated by dividing the amount of food consumed by the  
216 weight gained. A lower feed conversion ratio indicates higher efficiency in converting food into  
217 body mass.

218 *Mortality rate (%)*. Determined by dividing the number of dead birds by the number of birds  
219 at the beginning of the period.

220 *Pigmentation*. At the end of the experimental trial on day thirty-nine, birds were sacrificed  
221 using the bleeding method with manual cutting of the carotid arteries. Subsequently, scalding  
222 at 54 °C for 4 minutes and feather removal while keeping the skin intact were performed.  
223 Evaluation of the skin pigmentation of each bird, including those in the control group, was  
224 conducted after the evisceration process. The color intensity was measured using the Roche  
225 colorimetric fan, which uses a scale from 1 to 16. In this scale, 1 represents a nearly white tone,  
226 while 16 corresponds to a dark tomato tone, with intermediate gradations of yellow and tomato.

227 *Profitability*. Estimated through the benefit/cost ratio, applying the formula: B/C Ratio =  
228 (Income/Costs) x 100. Only feeding and vaccination costs were considered, along with income  
229 from the sale of chicken meat based on weight. A ratio > 1 indicates that the project is profitable.

### 230 *Statistical analysis*

231 The data were analyzed through the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique and were  
232 presented in terms of mean values. To ensure the validity of the ANOVA, the normality of the  
233 data was first assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the results indicated that the data met  
234 the assumption of normality. For comparisons between the mean values, the Tukey test was  
235 applied, considering a significance level of  $p < 0.05$ . This process was executed using the  
236 Infostat statistical software version 2020.

237

## 238 **Results**

### 239 *Productive parameters*

240 As detailed in Table 3, chickens that received a diet composed of 90% CBF and 10% Carrot  
241 Flour (T1) and those that received a diet composed of 85% CBF and 15% Carrot Flour (T2)  
242 showed similar food consumption, which was significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) compared to the  
243 control group (T0). However, weight gain did not reach statistical significance ( $p > 0.05$ );  
244 nevertheless, it was observed that the experimental groups, particularly chickens in treatment  
245 T3, exhibited greater numerical weight gain than the control group (T0). Regarding the feed  
246 conversion ratio, it was observed that as the level of substitution with carrot flour increased,  
247 chickens showed a non-significant increase ( $p > 0.05$ ) in conversion values, with variability  
248 among experimental groups. The best feed conversion ratio was observed in the control group



249 followed by chickens in treatment T3. Regarding mortality, no losses were recorded in the  
 250 groups of chickens that received diets with carrot flour. In contrast, the control group had a total  
 251 of 2 deaths, equivalent to a mortality rate of 12.5%.

252

253 **Table 3.** Statistical comparison of productive parameters

Parameters	T0	T1	T2	T3
Cumulative feed consumption CBF + CF (g/bird)	3850.97 <sup>a</sup>	4178.53 <sup>ab</sup>	4323.41 <sup>b</sup>	4350.35 <sup>b</sup>
Cumulative weight gain (g/bird)	2489.69 <sup>a</sup>	2555.13 <sup>a</sup>	2704.06 <sup>a</sup>	2780.94 <sup>a</sup>
Feed conversion ratio CBF + CF	1.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.64 <sup>a</sup>	1.60 <sup>a</sup>	1.56 <sup>a</sup>
Mortality rate (%)	12.5	0.00	0.00	0.00

254 Means with a common letter are not significantly different ( $p > 0.05$ ); CBF: Commercial balanced feed; CF: Carrot flour

255

256

257 *Pigmentation*

258 As observed in Table 4, increasing the concentration of carrot flour (*Daucus carota* subsp.  
 259 *sativus*) resulted in progressively more intense pigmentation. The treatment with 10% carrot  
 260 flour (T1) achieved a light pigmentation with a slight hint of yellow, while the treatment with  
 261 15% (T2) exhibited a pale-yellow color intensity. Likewise, the treatment with 20% carrot flour  
 262 (T3) showed a more intense and defined yellow hue. In contrast, the control group (T0)  
 263 exhibited very minimal pigmentation, leaning towards a white tone.

264

265 **Table 4.** Comparison of skin pigmentation variability in broiler chickens

Pigmentation	T0	T1	T2	T3
Average color Intensity (Scale: 1-16)	0.50	2.25	4.00	5.75

266

267 *Profitability*

268 Table 5 presents data related to the profitability analysis of each treatment. Regarding  
 269 revenues, it is observed that treatment T2 recorded the highest figure, followed by treatment  
 270 T3, T1, and T0. While treatment T3 exhibited the highest cost, followed by T2, T1, and T0.  
 271 Similarly, it is noted that all treatments show B/C values above 1, indicating that they generate  
 272 revenues surpassing associated costs, i.e., they are profitable. However, treatment T0 (control  
 273 group) had the lowest B/C value. Overall, treatment T2 stood out for recording the highest  
 274 revenues and a superior B/C ratio compared to the other treatments.

275

ACCEPTED

277 **Table 5.** Economic analysis

Metrics	T0	T1	T2	T3
Income (USD)	96,40	116,92	123,74	118,10
Costs (USD)	61,50	71,88	73,80	74,15
B/C (USD)	1,57	1,63	1,68	1,59

278 B/C: Benefit-Cost Ratio; USD: United States Dollar.

279

280 **Discussion**

281 The results of the study indicate that the partial substitution of balanced feed with carrot  
 282 flour (*Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus*) in the diet of Cobb 500 broilers increases food intake and  
 283 improves bird weight. These findings align with previous research by Ng'Ambi *et al.* (2019)  
 284 and Noviadi & Maradon (2021), which also found positive effects on feeding behavior and  
 285 weight gain when supplementing the Arbor Acre chicken diet with carrot flour.

286 According to Forbes (2010), in farm animals, increased food consumption of a particular  
 287 diet compared to another can be attributed to its attractiveness in terms of palatability. In this  
 288 regard, the chickens' response to food intake may be related to the palatability of the diet, driven  
 289 by taste, texture, and the availability of nutrients such as carotenoids, vitamins, and minerals in  
 290 carrot flour that stimulate consumption (Murugesan *et al.*, 2021; Yunitasari *et al.*, 2023).

291 Given that chickens consumed more food when including carrot flour in their diet, they could  
 292 have ingested more calories overall, leading to greater weight gain (Silondae *et al.*, 2023).  
 293 However, the improvement in body weight was accompanied by a decrease in feed conversion  
 294 efficiency. This coincides with the results of Muzaki *et al.* (2017), who observed that the  
 295 inclusion of carrot waste flour in the diet of Lohmann chickens proportionally affected feed  
 296 conversion efficiency.

297 As noted by Jha & Mishra (2021), one cause of this adverse effect on feed efficiency could  
 298 be the fiber content in the diet. The author suggests that a diet with a high fiber content can  
 299 reduce the digestibility of foods, so absorbed nutrients also decrease. Therefore, the problem in  
 300 utilizing the CBF + CF mixture could be related to the increased fiber content, which is  
 301 estimated at 7.84% in the carrot flour portion.

302 However, the variability in feed conversion efficiency among experimental groups suggests  
 303 that while the chickens may have shown better adaptation or tolerance to the 10% carrot flour  
 304 substitution level, other factors such as the interaction between diet nutrients, the physiological  
 305 response of chickens to different ingredient proportions, and the management and maintenance

306 parameters of each treatment could have influenced these results (Baracho *et al.*, 2019; Jácome-  
307 Gómez *et al.*, 2022).

308 The results of the productive parameters indicate that the reduction in protein intake did not  
309 have an adverse effect on the chickens' growth. This finding could be attributed to several  
310 factors, among which the ability of Cobb 500 chickens to adapt to diets with a lower amino acid  
311 density without compromising their performance stands out (Cobb-Vantress, 2018). Previous  
312 research has shown that Cobb 500 broilers can benefit from diets that do not necessarily meet  
313 the highest protein content, as long as other nutrients are available in adequate quantities and  
314 are highly digestible (Woyengo *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, it is plausible that the increased feed  
315 intake allowed the chickens to reach the total nutrient intake necessary to sustain their growth  
316 and development, thus compensating for the reduction in dietary protein. However, further  
317 research is needed to confirm these results and understand why broiler chickens can maintain  
318 growth despite the reduction in protein content in their diets. Identifying the specific factors  
319 that enable this adaptation could further optimize dietary formulations and improve feed  
320 efficiency without compromising productive performance.

321 On the other hand, regarding mortality, chickens fed diets that included carrot meal, no  
322 deaths were recorded, whereas the control group had a total of 2 deaths. This observation is  
323 supported by the research of Silondae *et al.* (2023), which did not detect mortality in chickens  
324 fed diets containing carrots. According to Khan *et al.* (2023) and Nabi *et al.* (2020), carrots, due  
325 to their antioxidant and carotenoid content, could provide cellular protection to chickens by  
326 reducing oxidative stress and improving overall health. However, it is important to consider  
327 that other factors, such as management conditions, water quality, and overall chicken nutrition,  
328 could also have influenced the observed results, so further studies are needed to confirm this  
329 potential effect and determine the specific contribution of carrot meal to bird health.

330 Additionally, the results indicate that the carotenoids present in carrot meal (*Daucus carota*  
331 subsp. *Sativus*) have the potential to positively influence the skin coloration of broiler chickens.  
332 This aligns with the report by Wang *et al.* (2023), suggesting that poultry skin pigmentation is  
333 related to the intake of carotenoid pigments from their diet. However, it is important to note that  
334 not all studies have reached the same conclusions, such as the work of Azizah *et al.* (2017),  
335 which found no observable effects on the pigmentation of Lohmann broiler chickens when  
336 including carrot waste meal in their diets. Differences may be attributed to variability in the  
337 amount of carotenoids obtained from carrot waste and their level of incorporation into the  
338 supplied diet. Additionally, the study does not specify which carrot subspecies was used.

339 Regarding profitability, while we did not observe a substantial reduction in feeding costs,  
340 the average income per kg of chicken meat was USD 0.63, representing a 10% increase  
341 compared to conventionally fed chicken meat (USD 0.57). This indicates that using carrot meal  
342 as a substitute in the diet of broiler chickens can provide greater economic benefits. These  
343 results are particularly interesting as there are few studies specifically addressing profitability  
344 in relation to the use of carrots as a source of carotenoids in poultry feeding. The study by  
345 Chamba-Ochoa *et al.* (2020) is one of the few documented cases, and our results surpass their  
346 findings in terms of an increase in the cost-benefit ratio.

347 In summary, this study provides preliminary evidence of the potential benefits of  
348 incorporating carrot (*Daucus carota* subsp. *Sativus*) in the rearing of Cobb 500 broiler chickens.  
349 These benefits are reflected in both productive performance and economic profitability,  
350 presenting a viable alternative to reduce or eliminate the need for artificial pigments in poultry  
351 production. These findings are valuable for the feed industry and contribute to decision-making  
352 in formulating diets for the production of yellow-skinned chickens.

353 Nevertheless, further research is necessary to delve into the influence of key variables, such  
354 as the specific quantity and quality of carrot used in the birds' diet. Additionally, more detailed  
355 studies should increase the number of experimental units to enhance statistical power and  
356 reliability. It is also important to consider the sex of the birds as a potential source of variation,  
357 given its possible influence on performance and pigmentation outcomes. Moreover,  
358 experimental conditions—such as environmental, genetic, and management factors—can  
359 significantly impact the results obtained. Therefore, generalizing these findings is premature.  
360 Future studies will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how the inclusion of  
361 carrot meal affects the performance and skin pigmentation of broiler chickens, providing more  
362 specific information for optimizing nutritional strategies in the production of yellow-skinned  
363 chickens.

364

## 365 **Declarations**

366

### 367 *Conflicts of interest*

368 The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the work presented in this  
369 report.

370

### 371 *Authors' contributions*

372 JIG: Study design, project administration, data collection, manuscript review, and writing.  
373 MDLC: Data processing, formal analysis, interpretation of data, and manuscript writing. JEZ  
374 and GLM: Methodology, preparation of carrot meal, bromatological analysis, and manuscript  
375 writing. MZR and JIV - Conceptualization, economic analysis, and manuscript writing. All  
376 authors contributed to the critical revision and approved the final version of the manuscript.

377

#### 378 *Funding*

379 The research was funded by the authors, with no external funding sources.

380

#### 381 *Use of artificial intelligence (AI)*

382 No AI technologies or AI-assisted technologies were used during the preparation of this work.

383

#### 384 **References**

385 AGROCALIDAD (Agencia de Regulación y Control Fito y Zoonosanitario de Ecuador).  
386 Bienestar animal, faenamiento de animales de producción. Ecuador. 2023.  
387 [https://www.agrocalidad.gob.ec/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Faenamiento\\_compressed.pdf](https://www.agrocalidad.gob.ec/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Faenamiento_compressed.pdf)

388 Azizah NA, Mahfudz LD, Sunarti D. Kadar lemak dan protein karkas ayam broiler akibat  
389 penggunaan tepung limbah wortel (*Daucus carota* L.) dalam Ransum. *J Sain Peternaka*  
390 *Indonesia* 2017; 12(4): 389-396. <https://doi.org/10.31186/jspi.id.12.4.389-396>

391 Baéza E, Guillier L, Petracci, M. Production factors affecting poultry carcass and meat quality  
392 attributes. *Anim* 2022; 16: 100331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2021.100331>

393 Baracho MS, Nääs IDA, Lima NDS, Cordeiro A FS, Moura DJ. Factors affecting broiler  
394 production: A meta-analysis. *Braz J Poult Sci* 2019; 21(03): 001-010.  
395 <https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2019-1052>

396 Chamba-Ochoa H, Cordero-Salazar F, Vacacela-Ajila W, Ortega-Rojas R, Solórzano-Castillo J,  
397 Benítez-González E. Efecto de zanahoria (*Daucus carota*) y alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) en  
398 pigmentación de carne de pollo. *Bosq Lat Cero* 2020; 10(1): 39-45.  
399 <https://revistas.unl.edu.ec/index.php/bosques/article/view/717>

400 Cobb Vantress. Cobb 500: Suplemento informativo sobre rendimiento y nutrición de pollos de  
401 engorde. Arkansas: Cobb Vantress; 2018. <https://colaves.com/project/pollos-cobb-de-engorde/>

402 Dabai SA, Bello S, Dabai JS. Growth performance and carcass characteristics of finisher broiler  
403 chickens served carrot leaf extract as a supplementary source of vitamins and minerals. Nigerian  
404 J Anim Sci 2021; 23(1): 144-149. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/tjas/article/view/212020>

405 de Araújo PD, Araújo WMC, Patarata L, Fraqueza MJ. Understanding the main factors that  
406 influence consumer quality perception and attitude towards meat and processed meat  
407 products. Meat Sci 2022; 193: 108952. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.108952>

408 Di Rienzo, J. A., Casanoves, F., Balzarini, M. G., González, L., Tablada, M., & Robledo, C. W.  
409 (2020). InfoStat versión 2020. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba,  
410 Argentina. <https://www.infostat.com.ar/index.php?mod=page&id=46>

411 Forbes JM. Palatability: principles, methodology and practice for farm animals. CABI Revi  
412 2010; 5(52): 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR20105052>

413 Hernández RRM, Blanco DJ. Evaluación de polvos de zanahoria obtenidos por deshidratación  
414 por aire forzado a diferentes temperaturas. Idesia Arica 2015; 33(4): 75-80.  
415 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-34292015000400010>

416 INAMHI (Instituto Nacional de Meteorología e Hidrología). Precipitación-2019 Diciembre.csv.  
417 Ecuador. 2019. [https://www.datosabiertos.gob.ec/dataset/precipitacion-total-](https://www.datosabiertos.gob.ec/dataset/precipitacion-total-mensual/resource/98c77d18-e863-4e00-8a22-eb47f2981d9c)  
418 [mensual/resource/98c77d18-e863-4e00-8a22-eb47f2981d9c](https://www.datosabiertos.gob.ec/dataset/precipitacion-total-mensual/resource/98c77d18-e863-4e00-8a22-eb47f2981d9c)

419 Jácome-Gómez JR, Sánchez EJS, Mendoza MEZ, De la Cruz CMV, Anchundia MAM. Efecto  
420 de diferentes materiales de cama sobre el comportamiento productivo de pollos de engorde  
421 Cobb 500. Cien La Rev Cient Multidisc 2022; 6(5): 3868-3881.  
422 [https://doi.org/10.37811/cl\\_rcm.v6i5.3362](https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v6i5.3362)

423 Jha R, Mishra P. Dietary fiber in poultry nutrition and their effects on nutrient utilization,  
424 performance, gut health, and on the environment: a review. J Anim Sci Biotech 2021; 12(51):  
425 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-021-00576-0>

426 Khan RU, Khan A, Naz S, Ullah Q, Puvača N, Laudadio V, Mazzei D, Seidavi A, Ayasan T,  
427 Tufarelli V. Pros and Cons of Dietary Vitamin A and Its Precursors in Poultry Health and  
428 Production: A Comprehensive Review. Antiox 2023; 12(5): 1131.  
429 <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12051131>

430 Martínez-Cámara S, Ibañez A, Rubio S, Barreiro C, Barredo JL. Main carotenoids produced by  
431 microorganisms. Encycl 2021; 1(4): 1223-1245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia1040093>

432 Murugesan K, Srinivasan KR, Paramasivam K, Selvam A, Wong J. Conversion of Food Waste  
433 to Animal Feeds. In: Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering. Elsevier;  
434 2021; p.05-324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819148-4.00011-7>

435 Muzaki MDR, Mahfudz LD, Muryani R. The Effect of Waste carrot Product (*Daucus Carrota*  
436 L) Powder in The Diet on Broiler Chickens Performance. *J Ilmu Ternak* 2017; 17(1): 14-20.  
437 <https://jurnal.unpad.ac.id/jurnalilmuternak/article/view/14798/7049>

438 Nabi F, Arain MA, Rajput N, Alagawany M, Soomro J, Umer M, Soomro F, Wang Z, Ye R, Liu  
439 J. Health benefits of carotenoids and potential application in poultry industry: A review. *J Anim*  
440 *Physiol Anim Nutr* 2020; 104(6): 1809-1818. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.13375>

441 Ng'Ambi JW, Mokgope PK, Brown D, Manyelo TG. Effect of dietary carrot meal  
442 supplementation on productivity and carcass characteristics of Arbor acre broiler chickens aged  
443 22 to 42 days. *Appl Ecol Environ Res* 2019; 17(5) 12337-12346.  
444 [https://aloki.hu/pdf/1705\\_1233712346.pdf](https://aloki.hu/pdf/1705_1233712346.pdf)

445 Noviadi R, Maradon GG. Broiler performance given carrot waste juice meal as a feed  
446 supplement. International Conference on Agriculture and Applied Science; 2020 Nov 19;  
447 Politeknik, Negeri. Lampung: ICoAAs; 2021. <https://doi.org/10.25181/icoaas.v1i1.2068>

448 Pasarin D, Rovinaru C. Sources of carotenoids and their uses as animal feed additives-a  
449 review. The International Session of Scientific Communications of the Faculty of Animal  
450 Science; Independentei, Bucarest, Romania: Scientific Papers Series D Animal Science;  
451 2018.[https://animalsciencejournal.usamv.ro/pdf/2018/issue\\_2/Art12.pdf](https://animalsciencejournal.usamv.ro/pdf/2018/issue_2/Art12.pdf)

452 Perrin F, Hartmann L, Dubois-Laurent C, Welsch R, Huet S, Hamama L, Briard M, Peltier D,  
453 Gagné S, Geoffriau E. Carotenoid gene expression explains the difference of carotenoid  
454 accumulation in carrot root tissues. *Planta* 2017; 245: 737–74. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-016-2637-9)  
455 [016-2637-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-016-2637-9)

456 Qamar A. Physical and chemical factors affecting chicken meat color. *Pak J Sci* 2019; 71(2):  
457 82-88. <https://doi.org/10.57041/pjs.v71i2.268>

458 Rana B, Bhattacharyya M, Patni B, Arya M, Joshi GK. The realm of microbial pigments in the  
459 food color market. *Front Sustain Food Syst* 2021; 5: 603892.  
460 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.603892>

461 Silondae H, Polakitan D, Paat PC, Kairupan AN, Layuk P, Lintang M, Joseph GH, Polakitan A,  
462 Tandi OG, Rawung JBM, Rembang JHW, Salamba HN, Malia IE, Sondakh JOM, Hutapea



463 RTP, Kindangen JG, Elizabeth R. The effects of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) waste juice on the  
464 performances of native chicken in North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Op Agric* 2023; 8(1): 20220173.  
465 <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2022-0173>

466 Toalombo PA, Camacho CA, Buenaño R, Jiménez S, Navas-González FJ, Landi V, Delgado JV.  
467 Efecto socioeconómico sobre las características fanerópticas de gallinas autóctonas de  
468 Ecuador. *Arch Zoot* 2019; 68(263): 416-421. <https://doi.org/10.21071/az.v68i263.4202>

469 Ürüšan H, Erhan M, Bölükbaşı SC. Effect of cold-press carrot seed oil on the performance,  
470 carcass characteristics, and shelf life of broiler chickens. *JAPS: J Anim Plant Sci* 2018; 28(6):  
471 1692-1668. <https://thejaps.org.pk/docs/v-28-06/17.pdf>

472 Wang Y, Gan S, Luo C, Liu S, Ma J, Luo W, Lin C, Shu D, Qu H. Variations in BCO2 Coding  
473 Sequence Causing a Difference in Carotenoid Concentration in the Skin of Chinese Indigenous  
474 Chicken. *Genes* 2023; 14(3): 671. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14030671>

475 Woyengo TA, Knudsen KB, Børsting CF. Low-protein diets for broilers: current knowledge and  
476 potential strategies to improve performance and health, and to reduce environmental impact.  
477 *Anim Feed Sci and Tech* 2023; 297, 115574. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2023.115574>

478 Wu J, Lin Z, Chen G, Luo Q, Nie Q, Zhang X, Luo W. Characterization of chicken skin  
479 yellowness and exploration of genes involved in skin yellowness deposition in chicken. *Front*  
480 *in Physiol* 2021; 12: 585089. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.585089>

481 Yunitasari F, Jayanegara A, Ulupi N. Performance, egg quality, and immunity of laying hens  
482 due to natural carotenoid supplementation: A meta-analysis. *Food Sci Anim Resour* 2023;  
483 43(2), 282. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2022.e76>